

Löwenheim-Skolem (1920):

If a first-order sentence is valid over an infinite domain, then it is valid over any infinite domain

$U = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$   $\leadsto$  you cannot define the natural numbers in FOL

---

It is possible with FOL (LRA)

$\text{Nat}(0)$

$y = x + 1 \wedge \text{Nat}(x) \rightarrow \text{Nat}(y)$

$0 < x < 1 \rightarrow \neg \text{Nat}(x)$

$x < 0 \rightarrow \neg \text{Nat}(x)$

$y + 1 = x \wedge x > 1 \wedge \neg \text{Nat}(y) \rightarrow \neg \text{Nat}(x)$

LRA  $\leadsto$  Domain is  $\mathbb{Q}$

$\{ \mathbb{N} \subseteq \text{Nat } \mathbb{Q} \}$

$\mathbb{N} = \text{Nat } \mathbb{Q}$

$$P(1+1)$$

$$\neg P(2)$$

$$x \neq 1+1 \vee P(x)$$

$$y \neq 2 \vee \underline{\neg P(y)}$$

Resolve  
→

$$2 \neq x \vee x \neq 1+1 \rightsquigarrow$$

$$\underbrace{2 = x \wedge x = 1+1}_{\text{has a solution}} \rightarrow \perp$$

↓  
problem is unsat

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x > 2 \rightarrow P(x) \\ x < 3 \rightarrow \neg P(x) \end{array} \right\} \text{Resolve}$$

$$\underbrace{x > 2 \wedge x < 3}_{\text{has solution}} \rightarrow \perp$$

→ problem is unsat

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x > 3 \rightarrow P(x) \\ x < 2 \rightarrow \neg P(x) \end{array} \right\} \text{Resolve}$$

$$\underbrace{x > 3 \wedge x < 2}_{\text{has no solution}} \rightarrow \perp$$

→ clause is tautology

$$P(f(x + g(a))) \vee \neg P(g(y - 3z)) \quad | \text{Not abstracted}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \neg 1 \vee g(y_1) \neq y_2 \vee y_3 \neq x + y_2 \vee P(f(y_3)) \\ \vee y_4 \neq y - 3z \vee \neg P(g(y_4)) \end{array} \right. | \text{abstracted}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$y_1 \approx 1 \wedge y_3 \approx x + y_2 \wedge y_4 \approx y - 3z \quad | \text{constrained clause from}$$

$$g(y_1) \neq y_2 \vee P(f(y_3)) \vee \neg P(g(y_4))$$

$$N' = \{ \Delta_1 \parallel C_1, \dots, \Delta_n \parallel C_n \} \subseteq N$$

If  $N$  is unsat, then there exists a subset  $N'$  of clauses that can be resolved together to get  $\Delta \parallel \perp$  where  $\Delta$  has a satisfiable solution

iff

$$N^* = \{ \Delta'_1 \parallel C'_1, \dots, \Delta'_n \parallel C'_n \} \subseteq \text{gnd}(N, \mathbb{Q})$$

there exists a subset  $N^*$  of  $\text{gnd}(N, \mathbb{Q})$

that can be resolved together to get

$$\Delta \parallel \perp \quad \text{where } \Delta \text{ simplify to true}$$

$$M = P(a, b), a \leq b, \neg P(a, a), a \leq 0, b \geq 2, \\ \neg P(b, b), P(b, a)$$

$$M = P(a), a < b, \neg P(b)$$

$$\beta_1 = \{ a \mapsto 0, b \mapsto 1 \}$$

$$\beta_2 = \{ a \mapsto 1, b \mapsto 2 \}$$

$$(1) x = 0 \mid P(x)$$

$$(2) x = 0 \mid \neg P(x)$$

$$(3) 1 \leq x \leq 2 \mid P(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Propagate } P(a)$$

$$P(b)$$

$$(3) \{ x \mapsto a \}$$

$$(3) \{ x \mapsto b \}$$

$$1 \leq a \leq 2$$

$$1 \leq b \leq 2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$B = \{ a, b, \dots \}$$

$$M_1 = P(a) \quad (3) \{ x \mapsto 1 \} \quad 1 \leq a \leq 2$$

$$M_2 = P(a) \quad (1) \{ x \mapsto a \}, a = 0$$

$$B = \{ a \}$$

$$\neg P(a) \vee a < 1 \vee a > 2$$