



max planck institut  
informatik

# Automated Reasoning I

**Christoph Weidenbach**

**Max Planck Institute for Informatics**

October 25, 2016

# Outline

Preliminaries

Propositional Logic



# Automated Reasoning

Given a specification of a system, develop technology

logics,  
calculi,  
algorithms,  
implementations,

to automatically execute the specification and to automatically prove properties of the specification.



# Concept

Slides: Definitions, Lemmas, Theorems, ...

Blackboard: Examples, Proofs, ...

Speech: Motivate, Explain, ...

Script: Slides, partially Blackboard ...

Exams: able to calculate  $\rightarrow$  pass  
understand  $\rightarrow$  (very) good grade



# Orderings

## 1.4.1 Definition (Orderings)

A (*partial*) *ordering*  $\succeq$  (or simply ordering) on a set  $M$ , denoted  $(M, \succeq)$ , is a reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive binary relation on  $M$ .

It is a *total ordering* if it also satisfies the totality property.

A *strict (partial) ordering*  $\succ$  is a transitive and irreflexive binary relation on  $M$ .

A strict ordering is *well-founded*, if there is no infinite descending chain  $m_0 \succ m_1 \succ m_2 \succ \dots$  where  $m_i \in M$ .



### 1.4.3 Definition (Minimal and Smallest Elements)

Given a strict ordering  $(M, \succ)$ , an element  $m \in M$  is called *minimal*, if there is no element  $m' \in M$  so that  $m \succ m'$ .

An element  $m \in M$  is called *smallest*, if  $m' \succ m$  for all  $m' \in M$  different from  $m$ .



# Multisets

Given a set  $M$ , a *multiset*  $S$  over  $M$  is a mapping  $S: M \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , where  $S$  specifies the number of occurrences of elements  $m$  of the base set  $M$  within the multiset  $S$ . I use the standard set notations  $\in, \subset, \subseteq, \cup, \cap$  with the analogous meaning for multisets, for example  $(S_1 \cup S_2)(m) = S_1(m) + S_2(m)$ .

A multiset  $S$  over a set  $M$  is *finite* if  $\{m \in M \mid S(m) > 0\}$  is finite. For the purpose of this lecture I only consider finite multisets.



### 1.4.5 Definition (Lexicographic and Multiset Ordering Extensions)

Let  $(M_1, \succ_1)$  and  $(M_2, \succ_2)$  be two strict orderings.

Their *lexicographic combination*  $\succ_{\text{lex}} = (\succ_1, \succ_2)$  on  $M_1 \times M_2$  is defined as  $(m_1, m_2) \succ (m'_1, m'_2)$  iff  $m_1 \succ_1 m'_1$  or  $m_1 = m'_1$  and  $m_2 \succ_2 m'_2$ .

Let  $(M, \succ)$  be a strict ordering.

The *multiset extension*  $\succ_{\text{mul}}$  to multisets over  $M$  is defined by  $S_1 \succ_{\text{mul}} S_2$  iff  $S_1 \neq S_2$  and  $\forall m \in M [S_2(m) > S_1(m) \rightarrow \exists m' \in M (m' \succ m \wedge S_1(m') > S_2(m'))]$ .

### 1.4.7 Proposition (Properties of $\succ_{\text{lex}}, \succ_{\text{mul}}$ )

Let  $(M, \succ)$ ,  $(M_1, \succ_1)$ , and  $(M_2, \succ_2)$  be orderings. Then

1.  $\succ_{\text{lex}}$  is an ordering on  $M_1 \times M_2$ .
2. if  $(M_1, \succ_1)$ ,  $(M_2, \succ_2)$  are well-founded so is  $\succ_{\text{lex}}$ .
3. if  $(M_1, \succ_1)$ ,  $(M_2, \succ_2)$  are total so is  $\succ_{\text{lex}}$ .
4.  $\succ_{\text{mul}}$  is an ordering on multisets over  $M$ .
5. if  $(M, \succ)$  is well-founded so is  $\succ_{\text{mul}}$ .
6. if  $(M, \succ)$  is total so is  $\succ_{\text{mul}}$ .

Please recall that multisets are finite.

# Induction

## Theorem (Noetherian Induction)

Let  $(M, \succ)$  be a well-founded ordering, and let  $Q$  be a predicate over elements of  $M$ . If for all  $m \in M$  the implication

if  $Q(m')$ , for all  $m' \in M$  so that  $m \succ m'$ , (induction hypothesis)  
then  $Q(m)$ . (induction step)

is satisfied, then the property  $Q(m)$  holds for all  $m \in M$ .



# Abstract Rewrite Systems

## 1.6.1 Definition (Rewrite System)

A *rewrite system* is a pair  $(M, \rightarrow)$ , where  $M$  is a non-empty set and  $\rightarrow \subseteq M \times M$  is a binary relation on  $M$ .

$\rightarrow^0$	$= \{ (a, a) \mid a \in M \}$	<i>identity</i>
$\rightarrow^{i+1}$	$= \rightarrow^i \circ \rightarrow$	<i>i + 1-fold composition</i>
$\rightarrow^+$	$= \bigcup_{i>0} \rightarrow^i$	<i>transitive closure</i>
$\rightarrow^*$	$= \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \rightarrow^i = \rightarrow^+ \cup \rightarrow^0$	<i>reflexive transitive closure</i>
$\rightarrow^=$	$= \rightarrow \cup \rightarrow^0$	<i>reflexive closure</i>
$\rightarrow^{-1}$	$= \leftarrow = \{ (b, c) \mid c \rightarrow b \}$	<i>inverse</i>
$\leftrightarrow$	$= \rightarrow \cup \leftarrow$	<i>symmetric closure</i>
$\leftrightarrow^+$	$= (\leftrightarrow)^+$	<i>transitive symmetric closure</i>
$\leftrightarrow^*$	$= (\leftrightarrow)^*$	<i>refl. trans. symmetric closure</i>



## 1.6.2 Definition (Reducible)

Let  $(M, \rightarrow)$  be a rewrite system. An element  $a \in M$  is *reducible*, if there is a  $b \in M$  such that  $a \rightarrow b$ .

An element  $a \in M$  is *in normal form (irreducible)*, if it is not reducible.

An element  $c \in M$  is a *normal form* of  $b$ , if  $b \rightarrow^* c$  and  $c$  is in normal form, denoted by  $c = b \downarrow$ .

Two elements  $b$  and  $c$  are *joinable*, if there is an  $a$  so that  $b \rightarrow^* a \leftarrow^* c$ , denoted by  $b \downarrow c$ .



### 1.6.3 Definition (Properties of $\rightarrow$ )

A relation  $\rightarrow$  is called

<i>Church-Rosser</i>	if $b \leftrightarrow^* c$ implies $b \downarrow c$
<i>confluent</i>	if $b \xrightarrow{*} a \rightarrow^* c$ implies $b \downarrow c$
<i>locally confluent</i>	if $b \leftarrow a \rightarrow c$ implies $b \downarrow c$
<i>terminating</i>	if there is no infinite descending chain $b_0 \rightarrow b_1 \rightarrow b_2 \dots$
<i>normalizing</i>	if every $b \in A$ has a normal form
<i>convergent</i>	if it is confluent and terminating



### 1.6.4 Lemma (Termination vs. Normalization)

If  $\rightarrow$  is terminating, then it is normalizing.

### 1.6.5 Theorem (Church-Rosser vs. Confluence)

The following properties are equivalent for any  $(M, \rightarrow)$ :

- (i)  $\rightarrow$  has the Church-Rosser property.
- (ii)  $\rightarrow$  is confluent.

### 1.6.6 Lemma (Newman's Lemma)

Let  $(M, \rightarrow)$  be a terminating rewrite system. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (i)  $\rightarrow$  is confluent
- (ii)  $\rightarrow$  is locally confluent

# LA Equations Rewrite System

$M$  is the set of all LA equations sets  $N$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$

$\doteq$  includes normalizing the equation

**Eliminate**      $\{x \doteq s, x \doteq t\} \uplus N \Rightarrow_{\text{LAE}} \{x \doteq s, x \doteq t, s \doteq t\} \cup N$   
provided  $s \neq t$ , and  $s \doteq t \notin N$

**Fail**             $\{q_1 \doteq q_2\} \uplus N \Rightarrow_{\text{LAE}} \emptyset$   
provided  $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $q_1 \neq q_2$



# LAE Redundancy

**Subsume**     $\{s \doteq t, s' \doteq t'\} \uplus N \Rightarrow_{\text{LAE}} \{s \doteq t\} \cup N$   
provided  $s \doteq t$  and  $qs' \doteq qt'$  are identical for some  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$

