

33rd ANNUAL CONFERENCE OF THE EUROPEAN ASSOCIATION FOR COMPUTER GRAPHICS

Deformation Graphs

Mark Pauly





Deformation Models

Desirable Properties

- Generality
 - handle different geometry representations
 - predictable, physically plausible deformation behavior
- Efficiency, scalability
 - processing of large data sets, realtime feedback
- Robustness
 - stable even for bad input and drastic deformations
- Simplicity
 - ease of implementation
 - · adaptability, extensibility, re-use







Space Discretization

• Begin with an embedded object



Space Discretization

- Begin with an embedded object
- Sample the object
- Each node deforms nearby space
- Edges connect nodes of overlapping influence

Space Discretization

- Begin with an embedded object
- Sample the object
- Each node deforms nearby space
- Edges connect nodes of overlapping influence

Deformation Graph

Deformation Pipeline





Every graph node defines an affine mapping that transforms nearby space



Energy formulation

 $w_{\rm rot}E_{\rm rot} + w_{\rm reg}E_{\rm reg} + w_{\rm con}E_{\rm con}$ $\min_{\mathbf{R}_1,\mathbf{t}_1...\mathbf{R}_m,\mathbf{t}_m}$ Graph Regularization Rotation Constraint parameters term term term



Constraint term

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{con}} = \sum_{l=1}^{p} \left\| \mathbf{\tilde{v}}_{\mathrm{index}(l)} - \mathbf{q}_{l} \right\|_{2}^{2}$$



Constrained vertices should move according to correspondences



Rotation term

Rot(**R**) =
$$(\mathbf{c}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}_2)^2 + (\mathbf{c}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}_3)^2 + (\mathbf{c}_2 \cdot \mathbf{c}_3)^2 + (\mathbf{c}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}_1 - 1)^2 + (\mathbf{c}_2 \cdot \mathbf{c}_2 - 1)^2 + (\mathbf{c}_3 \cdot \mathbf{c}_3 - 1)^2$$



$$\mathsf{E}_{\mathrm{rot}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathrm{Rot}(\mathbf{R}_j)$$

For detail preservation, features should rotate and not scale or skew.



Regularization term

$$E_{\text{reg}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}(j)} \alpha_{jk} \left\| \frac{\mathbf{R}_{j}(\mathbf{g}_{k} - \mathbf{g}_{j}) + \mathbf{g}_{j} + \mathbf{t}_{j}}{\text{where node } j \text{ thinks}} - \frac{(\mathbf{g}_{k} + \mathbf{t}_{k})}{(\mathbf{g}_{k} - \mathbf{g}_{j}) + (\mathbf{g}_{k} - \mathbf{g}_{j})} - \frac{(\mathbf{g}_{k} - \mathbf{g}_{k})}{(\mathbf{g}_{k} - \mathbf{g}_{j}) + (\mathbf{g}_{k} - \mathbf{g}_{k})} - \frac{(\mathbf{g}_{k} - \mathbf{g}_{k})}{(\mathbf{g}_{k} - \mathbf{g}_{k})} \right\|_{2}^{2}$$



Neighboring nodes should agree on where they transform each other.





Space Deformation: Approach 1

Simple averaging of transformations For each point p

- Pick *k* closest graph nodes $\{T_1, T_2, ..., T_k\}$ (*k*=4)
- Build weighted average of transformations

$$\mathbf{p} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k w_i \mathbf{T}_i(\mathbf{p})$$



Space Deformation: Approach 2

Each graph node yields displacement

$$\mathbf{d}_i = \mathbf{T}_i(\mathbf{c}_i) - \mathbf{c}_i$$

Interpolate by triharmonic RBFs

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{w}_i \cdot \|\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{x}\|^3 + \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})$$

- Guarantees smooth & fair deformation

– Solve dense linear system for RBF coefficients



Adaptive Deformation Graphs



 \checkmark

(

Deformation Models

Desirable Properties

- Generality
 - handle different geometry representations
 - predictable, physically plausible deformation behavior
- Efficiency, scalability
 - processing of large data sets, realtime feedback
- Robustness
 - stable even for bad input and drastic deformations
- Simplicity
 - ease of implementation
 - · adaptability, extensibility, re-use

Sumner, Schmid, Pauly: Embedded Deformation for Shape Manipulation, SIGGRAPH 2007 Botsch, Pauly, Wicke, Gross: Adaptive Space Deformation based on Rigid Cells, Eurographics 2007 Li, Adams, Guibas, Pauly: Single-View Geometry and Motion Reconstruction, SIGGRAPH ASIA 2009

