

# Coloring Graphs with Minimal Edge Load

Nitin Ahuja   Andreas Baltz   Benjamin Doerr  
Anand Srivastav

*Mathematisches Seminar, Bereich II, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel,  
Christian-Albrechts-Platz 4, 24118 Kiel, Germany.  
E-mail: {nia,aba,bed,asr}@numerik.uni-kiel.de*

---

## Abstract

The *load* of a coloring  $\varphi : V \rightarrow \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$  for a given graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a pair  $L_\varphi = (r_\varphi, b_\varphi)$ , where  $r_\varphi$  is the number of edges with at least one red end-vertex and  $b_\varphi$  is the number of edges with at least one blue end-vertex. Our aim is to find a coloring  $\varphi$  such that  $l_\varphi := \max\{r_\varphi, b_\varphi\}$  is minimized. We show that this problem is *NP*-complete. For trees, we give a polynomial time algorithm computing an optimal solution. This has load at most  $m/2 + \Delta \log_2 n$ , where  $m$  and  $n$  denote the number of edges and vertices respectively. For arbitrary graphs, a coloring with load at most  $\frac{3}{4}m + O(\sqrt{\Delta m})$  can be found in deterministic polynomial time using a derandomized version of Azuma's martingale inequality. This bound cannot be improved in general: almost all graphs have to be colored with load at least  $\frac{3}{4}m - \sqrt{3mn}$ .

*Key words:* graph coloring, graph partitioning

---

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph. For a *coloring*  $\varphi : V \rightarrow \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$  we define the *load* of  $\varphi$  by  $L_\varphi := (r_\varphi, b_\varphi)$ , where  $r_\varphi$  counts the number of edges incident with at least one red vertex, and  $b_\varphi$  is the number of edges incident with at least one blue vertex. The aim of the *Minimum Load Coloring Problem (MLCP)* is to find a coloring  $\varphi$  such that  $l_\varphi := \max\{r_\varphi, b_\varphi\}$  is minimized. To our knowledge there exists no prior literature on this particular problem. A generalization to hypergraphs was regarded by Ageev et al. [1]. They study scheduling aspects of an optical communication network with  $n$  nodes  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $n$  hyperedges  $E = \{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ . Node  $v_i$  wants to send packets of data to a set  $E_i \subseteq V$  of other nodes. Given a set  $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  of  $k$  available wavelengths, the aim is to find an assignment  $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$  of wavelengths to

nodes such that the maximum load (number of packets) on any wavelength is minimized.

**Notation:** Throughout the paper let  $n$  denote the number of vertices of the graph under consideration,  $m$  the number of edges and  $\Delta$  the maximum vertex degree. We put  $l(G)$  to be the minimum (hence optimal) load among all vertex colorings of  $G$ .

## 2 NP-Completeness

A reduction to MINBISECTION shows that MLCP is NP-complete.

**Theorem 2.1** *MLCP  $\in$  NPC.*

*Proof.*[Sketch] Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an instance of MINBISECTION. We construct an instance  $G' = (V', E')$  of MLCP by adding two cliques  $C_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ ,  $C_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ ,  $|V_1| = |V_2| = 2m + 2$ ,  $E_1 := \mathcal{P}_2(V_1)$ ,  $E_2 := \mathcal{P}_2(V_2)$ , a set of edges  $\bar{E} := \{\{v, v'\} \mid v \in V, v' \in V_1 \cup V_2\}$  connecting each  $v \in V$  to each clique vertex, and a matching  $M = (V_3, E_3)$  of size  $m$ . Moreover, we define another instance  $G''$  of MLCP by adding one more free matching edge  $e'' = \{v''_1, v''_2\}$  to  $G'$ .

We claim that optimal solutions to MLCP on  $G'$  and  $G''$  determine a minimum bisection in  $G$  and vice versa. Since MINBISECTION is NP-complete (cf. Karpinski [4]), and, obviously, MLCP  $\in$  NP, this implies MLCP  $\in$  NPC. Let  $\text{OPT}_B$  be the size of a minimum bisection of  $G$ . It is enough to show that

$$l(G') = \begin{cases} \frac{|E'|}{2} + \frac{\text{OPT}_B}{2} + n(m+1) & \text{if } \text{OPT}_B \text{ is even} \\ \frac{|E'|}{2} + \frac{\text{OPT}_B}{2} + n(m+1) + \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } l(G'') = \begin{cases} \frac{|E'|}{2} + \frac{\text{OPT}_B}{2} + n(m+1) + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \text{OPT}_B \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{|E'|}{2} + \frac{\text{OPT}_B}{2} + n(m+1) + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{This implies } \text{OPT}_B = \begin{cases} 2l(G') - |E'| - 2n(m+1) & \text{if } l(G') < l(G'') \\ 2l(G') - |E'| - 2n(m+1) - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The first step of the proof is to show that each optimal coloring  $\varphi$  has to color  $G'$  such that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are monochromatic with different colors, and  $V$  contains as many red as blue vertices. This yields  $l_\varphi \geq \frac{|E'|}{2} + \frac{\text{OPT}_B}{2} + n(m+1)$ .

On the other hand, we may use the edges in  $E_3$  to balance the number of monochromatic edges in both colors (here the parity of  $|E_3|$  is important). Thus only the number of bichromatic edges is important. This proves the claimed bounds for  $l(G')$  and  $l(G'')$ .  $\square$

### 3 Bounds and Algorithms for Trees

For trees, we prove the bound  $l(G) \leq \frac{n-1}{2} + \Delta \log_2 n$ . The key to this is the following more general lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** *Given a tree  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $|V| = n$ , and  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $p_1 + p_2 = n - 1$ , there is a red-blue coloring of  $V$  such that at least  $p_1 + 1 - \Delta \log_2 n$  edges are monochromatic red and at least  $p_2 + 1 - \Delta \log_2 n$  are monochromatic blue.*

From the lemma, we easily deduce the following.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $G$  be a tree. Then  $l(G) \leq \frac{m}{2} + \Delta \log_2 n$ .*

The proof of Lemma 3.1 uses an inductive construction. Thus, there is an efficient algorithm for computing colorings with load at most  $\frac{m}{2} + \Delta \log_2 n$ . However, it is also possible to compute optimal colorings for trees efficiently.

**Theorem 3.2** *On trees, MLCP can be solved in time  $O(n^3)$ .*

*Proof.*[Sketch] Let  $G$  be a tree. We think of  $G$  as a *directed* tree with an arbitrary root  $a$  at level 0, the successors  $N(a) := \{v \in V \mid (a, v) \in E\}$  of  $a$  at level 1, etc. For each  $v \in V$  we denote by  $T_v$  the *induced subtree* of  $G$  rooted in  $v$ . We define for each *arbitrary* subtree  $G'$  of  $G$  with root  $a'$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{G'} := \{(r, b) \mid (r, b) = L_\varphi \text{ for some coloring } \varphi \text{ of } G' \text{ with } \varphi(a') = \text{red}\},$$

the set of possible loads for  $G'$ . Suppose, we can efficiently compute  $\mathcal{L}_G$ . Since  $|\mathcal{L}_G| \leq (n + 1)^2$ , we can also efficiently find the maximum load  $l(G)$  of an optimal coloring by inspecting all  $(r, b) \in \mathcal{L}_G$  and selecting the one with smallest maximum component. It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{L}_G$  can be determined in polynomial time by iteratively computing  $\mathcal{L}_{T_v}$  for all  $v \in V$  in *reverse breadth first order*. The iteration is based on two operations: consider a subtree  $G'$  of  $G$  with root  $a' \neq a$ ,  $v \in V$  with  $(v, a') \in E$ , and the tree  $v + G' := (V(G') \cup \{v\}, E(G') \cup \{(v, a')\})$  obtained by appending the edge  $(v, a')$  to  $G'$ . We define

$$v + \mathcal{L}_{G'} := \{(r + 1, b) \mid (r, b) \in \mathcal{L}_{G'}\} \cup \{(b + 1, r + 1) \mid (r, b) \in \mathcal{L}_{G'}\} \quad (1)$$

For two subtrees  $G'_1, G'_2$  of  $G$  that intersect only in their joint root  $a'$ , let  $G'_1 + G'_2 := (V(G'_1) \cup V(G'_2), E(G'_1) \cup E(G'_2))$  be the composite tree. We define

$$\mathcal{L}_{G'_1} + \mathcal{L}_{G'_2} := \{(r_1 + r_2, b_1 + b_2) \mid (r_1, b_1) \in \mathcal{L}_{G'_1}, (r_2, b_2) \in \mathcal{L}_{G'_2}\}. \quad (2)$$

It is straightforward to prove that for all subtrees  $G' = (V', E')$  of  $G$  with root  $a'$  and all  $v \in V$  with  $(v, a') \in E$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{v+G'} = v + \mathcal{L}_{G'}$ . Moreover, for all subtrees  $G'_1 = (V'_1, E'_1), G'_2 = (V'_2, E'_2)$  intersecting only in their joint root  $a'$ ,

$\mathcal{L}_{G'_1+G'_2} = \mathcal{L}_{G'_1} + \mathcal{L}_{G'_2}$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{L}_{T_v} = \sum_{v' \in N(v)} \mathcal{L}_{v+T_{v'}} = \sum_{v' \in N(v)} v + \mathcal{L}_{T_{v'}}$  for all  $v \in V$ . Considering the complexity of the operations (1) and (2) we see that  $\mathcal{L}_G$  can be recursively computed in  $\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) \cdot O(n^4 + n^2) = O(n^5)$  steps.

We can reduce this time to  $O(n^3)$  by considering only “relevant” loads,  $\mathcal{R}_{G'} := \{(r, b) \mid (r, b) \in \mathcal{L}_{G'}, b = \min\{b' \mid (r, b') \in \mathcal{L}_{G'}\}\}$ .  $\mathcal{R}_G$  can be computed iteratively via operations similar to (1) and (2) that are performed on  $\mathcal{R}_{G'}$  instead of  $\mathcal{L}_{G'}$  and thus require only  $O(n)$  and  $O(n^2)$  steps, respectively. This yields the desired  $O(n^3)$  bound.

The iterative procedure to compute the optimal load can be easily modified to actually compute an optimal coloring.  $\square$

## 4 Approximation Algorithms for Arbitrary Graphs

Let us first observe that the load of random colorings is less than  $\frac{3}{4}m + O(\sqrt{\Delta m})$  with high probability. Since  $\frac{1}{2}m$  is a trivial lower bound for  $l_\varphi$ , we obtain a  $(1.5 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation algorithm if  $\Delta = o(m)$ . We will use the following Martingale inequality that can be found in McDiarmid [5]. It is an application of the well known inequality of Azuma [2].

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent random variables taking values in some sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ . Let  $f : \prod_{i \in [n]} A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq c_i$  whenever  $x$  and  $y$  differ only in the  $i$ -th coordinate. Let  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $\mu = E(f(X))$ . Then for any  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(f(X) - \mu \geq \lambda) \leq \exp(-2\lambda^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2)$ .*

**Theorem 4.1** *There is a coloring  $\varphi$  such that  $l_\varphi \leq \frac{3}{4}m + \sqrt{(\ln 2)\Delta m}$ .*

*A random coloring satisfies  $\mathbb{P}(l_\varphi \geq \frac{3}{4}m + q\sqrt{(\ln 2)\Delta m}) \leq 2^{-q^2+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi : V \rightarrow \{\text{red}, \text{blue}\}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(\varphi(v) = \text{red}) = \frac{1}{2} = \mathbb{P}(\varphi(v) = \text{blue})$  independently for all  $v \in V$ . Clearly, if two colorings  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  differ only in the color of some vertex  $v \in V$ , then  $|r_{\varphi_1} - r_{\varphi_2}| \leq \deg(v)$ . We compute  $E(r_\varphi) = \sum_{e \in E} \mathbb{P}(\exists v \in e : \varphi(v) = \text{red}) = \frac{3}{4}m$ . Since  $\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v)^2 \leq \sum_{v \in V} \deg(v)\Delta = 2\Delta m$ , for  $\lambda = \sqrt{(\ln 2)\Delta m}$  we have  $\mathbb{P}(r_\varphi > \frac{3}{4}m + \lambda) < \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus with positive probability, both  $r_\varphi$  and  $b_\varphi$  are at most  $\frac{3}{4}m + \lambda$ . In particular, a coloring with  $l_\varphi \leq \frac{3}{4}m + \lambda$  exists. The second statement follows in a similar manner.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2** *A coloring  $\varphi$  such that  $l_\varphi \leq \frac{3}{4}m + \sqrt{(\ln 4)\Delta m}$  can be constructed in  $O(n^3)$  time.*

For the proof we need a derandomized version (Theorem 4.3) of Azuma's martingale inequality.

**Theorem 4.3** ([6]) *Let  $f(X) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} X_i X_j$  be a quadratic form satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 4.1. Let  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  with  $2 \exp(-2\lambda^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2) \leq 1 - \delta$ . We can construct a  $X \in \{0, 1\}^n$  with  $|f(X) - \mathbb{E}(f(X))| \leq \lambda$  in  $O(n^3 \log(\delta^{-1}))$  time.*

*Proof.* [Sketch of Theorem 4.2] Let  $(a_{ij})$  be the adjacency matrix of the graph  $G = (V, E)$  under consideration. We identify a two-coloring  $\varphi : V \rightarrow \{\text{blue}, \text{red}\}$  with  $X \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . Let  $r(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_{ij} X_i X_j}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_i (1 - X_j)$ , and  $b(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_{ij} (1 - X_i) (1 - X_j)}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_i (1 - X_j)$ . Thus,  $r_\varphi = r(X)$ ,  $b_\varphi = b(X)$  and  $l_\varphi = f(X) := \max\{r(X), b(X)\}$ . Now, if we consider  $f$ , the maximum of two quadratic forms, then the result can be proved by using Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.3.  $\square$

The dependence on  $\Delta$  cannot be avoided. This is shown by star graphs. If  $\Delta = o(m)$ , then the resulting bound of  $(\frac{3}{4} + o(1))m$  cannot be improved in general, since, for the complete graph  $K_n$ ,  $l_\varphi \geq \frac{3}{8}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}n = (\frac{3}{4} + o(1))m$  for all colorings  $\varphi$ . In a sense, almost all graphs have a load of  $(\frac{3}{4} - o(1))m$ .

**Theorem 4.4** *Let  $m \geq 12n$ . For a random multi-graph  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $|V| = n$  obtained by choosing  $m$  edges from  $\binom{n}{2}$  independently with repetition, we have  $l(G) \geq \frac{3}{4}m - \sqrt{3mn}$  with probability  $1 - 2^{-n}$ .*

## References

- [1] A.A. Ageev, A.V. Fishkin, A.V. Kononov, S.V. Sevastianov, *Open Block Scheduling in Optical Communication Networks*. Preprint(2003), 21 pages.
- [2] K. Azuma, *Weighted sums of certain dependent variables*. Tohoku Math. Journal 3(1967), 357 - 367
- [3] G. Even, J. Naor, S. Rao, B. Schieber, *Fast Approximate Graph Partitioning Algorithms*. SIAM J. Comput. 28(6)(1999), 2187 - 2214.
- [4] M. Karpinski, *Approximability of the Minimum Bisection Problem: An Algorithmic Challenge*. In Proc. 27th Int. Symp. on Math. Foundations of Comp. Sc., LNCS 2420(2002), 59 - 67.
- [5] C. McDiarmid, *Concentration*. In Probabilistic Methods for Algorithmic Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms Combin. 16(1998), 195 - 248.
- [6] A. Srivastav, P. Stangier, *On quadratic lattice approximations*. In Proc. 4th Int. Symp. on Algorithms and Computation, LNCS 762(1993), 176 - 184.