



# Bipartite Matching



## The problem

Bipartite graph  $G = (V_1 \cup V_2, E)$

All edges are of the form  $(u, v)$  where  $u \in V_1$  and  $v \in V_2$

Matching = set of vertex-disjoint edges in  $G$

If  $M$  is a matching, each node (vertex) occurs at most once as an endpoint of an edge in  $M$

Goal: find a matching of **maximum cardinality**, i.e., the largest possible amount of edges



# Applications

Examples:

- scheduling, where each machine can process a subset of the jobs, and we want to maximize the number of jobs done
- video processing: matching moving objects based on a sequence of snapshots
- ...



## Finding a matching

We look for a matching of **maximum cardinality**, i.e., the largest possible amount of edges

How can this be solved?

...Formulate as a maximum flow problem!



## Bipartite matching as a maximum flow problem

- add a source  $s$  and a sink  $t$
- add edges from  $s$  to every node in  $V_1$
- add edges from every node in  $V_2$  to  $t$
- all edges have capacity 1

How large is this network? It has  $n + 2$  nodes and  $m' = m + n$  edges

We have  $m \geq n/2$  since every vertex in  $V$  has at least one incident edge

Thus,  $m \leq m' = m + n \leq 3m$ , so  $m' = \Theta(m)$ .



**Lemma 1.** *Integer-valued flows in  $G'$  correspond to matchings in  $G$*

*Proof.* Matching  $M \rightarrow$  flow  $f$ : if  $(u, v) \in M$ , set  $f(s, u) = f(u, v) = f(v, t) = 1$ .

For all other edges  $(u, v) \in E'$ , set  $f(u, v) = 0$ .

Each edge  $(u, v) \in M$  corresponds to one unit of flow in  $G'$  that traverses the path  $s \rightarrow u \rightarrow v \rightarrow t$ .

Flow from  $s$  to  $t$  is equal to  $|M|$



Flow  $f \rightarrow$  matching  $M$ : define

$$M = \{(u, v) : u \in V_1, v \in V_2, f(u, v) > 0\}$$

Why is this a matching? Flow conservation: if some amount of flow enters some node  $u$  from  $s$ , it must leave.

Integrality: if a **unit** of flow enters  $u$ , it leaves along exactly one edge  $(u, v)$ . At most one edge leaving  $u$  has positive flow.

By symmetry, same holds for a node  $v \in V_2$ .

Why is  $|M| = |f|$ ? We have  $f(s, u) = 1$  for every matched  $u \in L$ , and  $f(u, v) = 0$  for every edge  $(u, v) \in E - M$ . Thus net flow **across cut**  $\{(u, v) : u \in V_1, v \in V_2\}$  is  $|M|$  ( $= |f|$ ).  $\square$



## Completing the proof

Even if a flow is integer-valued, it could be that for some edges,  $f(u, v)$  is not integer (only the sum over each cut is).

Applying Ford-Fulkerson or Dinitz returns a flow which is **integral on all edges**.

Therefore, this flow must correspond to a maximum matching using the method on the previous slide



# Minimum Cost Bipartite Perfect Matching

A transportation problem in a bipartite graph

$G = (V_1 \cup V_2, E \subseteq V_1 \times V_2)$  with

$\text{supply}(v) = 1$  for  $v \in V_1$ ,

$\text{supply}(v) = -1$  for  $v \in V_2$ .

An **integral** flow defines a matching

Each edge has a cost

We want to find a **perfect** matching of **minimum** cost

Perfect matching: all vertices are matched



# The stable marriage problem

$n$  guys,  $n$  girls

Everyone has a preference list of length  $n$

How to match everyone?

Theorem: there exists a matching such that no couple wants to elope



# Applications

- Origin: graduating medical students and hospital jobs
  - students rank hospitals in order of preference
  - hospitals rank students
  
- high-school students to universities?



## Possible algorithm

Start with any matching

If there exists a **non-matched** couple which prefers being together over their current partners, switch them

Repeat. . .

May not terminate!



## A better algorithm: Gale-Shapley

Ultra-short summary: “men propose, women accept”

As long as some man is unmatched:

- propose to first woman on his preference list that he has not yet proposed to
- if woman is unmatched, she accepts
- if woman is matched, she compares him to her current fiancé; if she can improve, she breaks off her engagement and accepts the new offer



## Remarks

Does the algorithm terminate?

- Each woman, once proposed to, remains matched (she uses a **greedy** algorithm)
- Each man proposes to each woman only once
- Suppose some man and woman remain unmatched
- At some point, this man must have proposed to her
- She was unmatched, so she must have accepted: a contradiction



## Remarks

Do we find a stable matching?

- Note that the women only improve over time
- Assume we have an unhappy pair, man  $X$  and woman  $b$ , who are currently matched as  $X - a$  and  $Y - b$ .
- $X$  must have proposed to  $b$  before  $a$  since he prefers  $b$
- Two possibilities:
  - $b$  rejected  $X$  because she was already better matched
  - $b$  accepted  $X$ , but later rejected him in favor of someone else
- In both cases,  $b$  must prefer  $Y$  to  $X$ , a contradiction



## Comments

Much further work has been done, e.g.

- stable roommates problem
- Random / Fair stable matchings
- Many variants of stable matching are also solvable (indifferences, groups, forbidden pairs, ...)
- What happens if some participants lie about their preferences?
- Stable roommates with indifferences: NPcomplete