



## Feedback der Veranstaltungsevaluation - SS 2011

Automated Reasoning

Dr. Uwe Waldmann

Kontakt:  
[qualis@mx.uni-saarland.de](mailto:qualis@mx.uni-saarland.de)

# Allgemeine Informationen

---

## Informationen zum Projekt Qualis

- => Qualis ist ein aus allgemeinen Studiengebühren finanziertes Evaluationsprojekt, das am Lehrstuhl für Differentielle Psychologie und psychologische Diagnostik angesiedelt ist
- => Evaluiert werden Lehrveranstaltungen in den folgenden Studiengängen/Einrichtungen: Computerlinguistik, Erziehungswissenschaft, Informatik, Medizin, Pharmazie, Psychologie, Sprachenzentrum, Zentrum für Schlüsselkompetenzen



## Informationen zu den Qualis-Evaluationsbögen


- => Der Basisbogen besteht aus 28 Items, einer Freitextantwort sowie Fragen zu demographischen Variablen
- => Die Items des Basisbogens werden bei der Auswertung zu fünf Skalen (Dozent, Aufbau, Thema, Anforderungen, Gesamteinschätzung) aufsummiert und in Form dieser Skalenwerte rückgemeldet. Freitextantworten werden den Dozenten in ihrem originalen Wortlaut übermittelt
- => Entsprechend jeweils vorliegender Veranstaltungsspezifika wird der Basisbogen um zusätzliche Skalenmodule (z.B. Referate, Arbeitsaufträge) ergänzt.

Das Qualis-Team dankt allen Studierenden und Dozenten für die zahlreiche und umfassende Teilnahme!


# Allgemeine Angaben

N=16







# Aufbau




# Thema



# Anforderung



# Gesamteinschätzung




# Mittelwertvergleiche


Skala	Veranstaltung		Referenz	
	M	SD	M	SD
Dozent	1,77	0,73	1,91	0,7
Aufbau	1,73	0,77	2,19	0,88
Thema	1,6	0,57	2,09	0,89
Anforderung	1,78	0,81	2,48	0,9
Gesamteinschätzung	1,91	0,61	2,36	0,93

# Dozent


The lecturer was enthusiastic and motivated.




I was able to follow the pace of the lecturer.



The lecturer provided a good learning and working atmosphere.




The lecturer has always been well prepared.




# Dozent


The lecturer was very competent.




The lecturer was able to put complicated ideas across.



It was important to the lecturer that the participants benefitted from the course.




The lecturer motivated the participants.




# Aufbau


The learning objective was made clear.




The educational objectives were well defined from the beginning.



The course was well structured and comprehensible.




The structure of the content was logical/easy to follow.



# Thema


I was already interested in the subject of the course before I signed up for it.



I believe that I learned important facts during the course.




The topic of the course is relevant for the work of a computer scientist.




# Anforderung


The difficulty of the content was adequate.




The amount of the content was adequate.



The requirements of the course were adequate.




The amount of time required for the course as a whole (including preparation and follow-up) was appropriate.




# Anforderung

The course was too difficult for me.




# Gesamteinschätzung


Overall, this was a good course.



I learned a lot in this course.



The course fulfilled my expectations.




I would recommend the course.



# Gesamteinschätzung

In terms of its quality, this course was as good as the best course I have ever attended.



# Anmerkungen

---

"good course; continue this way"

"perhaps some motivation was needed for the topic, but overall excellent lecture -Prof.  
Waldmann is a very good lecturer"