## "リр"

# Complexity Theory of Polynomial-Time Problems 

Lecture 10: Dynamic Algorithms II

Sebastian Krinninger
(with slides by Thatchaphol Saranurak)

## Exam

- Oral exam
- Tentative date:
- September 5-9
- (In lecture, students preferred this over end-of-July date)


## Limits of Dynamic Algorithms?

Even-Shiloach: Incremental/decremental SSSP with total time $O(\mathrm{mn})$ and constant query time

Amortized O(n) per update

The success story of dynamic algorithms:
Connectivity: In an undirected graph, maintain fully dynamic data structure that answers connectivity queries (is $u$ connected to $v$ ) for any pair of nodes.

After a long line of research:
Theorem: There is a randomized fully dynamic connectivity algorithm with worst-case update time $O\left(\log ^{5} n\right)$ and query time $O(\log n)$
[Kapron et al. '13]

## A Simple Problem?

What about directed graphs?
SSR: Maintain which nodes can be reached from a source node $s$ in a directed graph
\#SSR: $\quad$ Maintain number of reachable nodes from a source node $s$ in a directed graph

Upper bounds with constant query time and total update time

- $O(m)$ incremental
- $O(m)$ decremental in directed acyclic graphs
- $O(m \sqrt{n \log n})$ decremental in genral graphs [Chechik et al. '16]

What about fully dynamic algorithms?
What about worst-case bounds?

## Today's Theorems

Theorem: There is no incremental algorithm for \#SSR with worst-case update and query time $O\left(n^{1-\epsilon}\right)$ unless OVH fails.
[Abboud/V. Williams '14]
Theorem: There is no fully dynamic algorithm for \#SSR with amortized update and query time $O\left(n^{1-\epsilon}\right)$ unless OVH fails.
[Abboud/V. Williams '14]

Theorem: There is no incremental algorithm for SSR with worst-case update time $O\left(n^{1-\epsilon}\right)$ and query time $O\left(n^{2-\epsilon}\right)$ unless the OMv Conjecture fails.
[Henzinger et al. '15]
Theorem: There is no fully dynamic algorithm for SSR with amortized update $O\left(n^{1-\epsilon}\right)$ and query time $O\left(n^{2-\epsilon}\right)$ unless the OMv Conjecture fails.
[Henzinger et al. '15]

## Today's Plan: Conditional Lower Bounds

1. Lower bound for \#SSR based on OV
2. OMv Conjecture and equivalence to OuMv
3. Lower bounds for SSR based on OuMv

## 1．Lower bound for \＃SSR based on OV

## Reduction from OV

Given: Sets of $d$-dimensional vectors $A$ and $B$ of size $|A|=|B|=n$ Question: Are there $a \in \mathrm{~A}$ and $b \in B$ such that $a$ and $b$ are orthogonal?

Initialization: $\quad d$ dimensions $\quad n$ vectors of $B$


At initialization, for every $i$ and $b \in B$, insert: edge $(i, b)$ iff $b[i] \neq 0$

## Correctness

## Claim: $\quad$ Let $k$ be the number of 1-entries of $a \in A$. <br> After inserting all edges $(a, i)$ such that $a[i] \neq 0$ : no $b \in B$ orthogonal to $a$ if and only if <br> $s$ can reach $n+k+1$ nodes

" $\Rightarrow$ " Assume no $b \in B$ orthogonal to $a$
Then for every $b \in B$ there is an $i$ such that $a[i] \neq 0$ and $b[i] \neq 0$
Thus, for every node $b$ on right side there is some path $s \rightarrow i \rightarrow b$
$\Rightarrow s$ can reach $n+k+1$ nodes (including itself)
" $\Leftarrow$ " Assume $s$ can reach $n+k+1$ nodes
Then $s$ reaches all nodes $b$ on the right side
(because middle nodes only reachable if $a[i] \neq 0$ )
Thus, for every $b$ on right side there is a path from $s$ to $b$
Must have the form $s \rightarrow i \rightarrow b$ for some middle node $i$
Then: $a[i] \neq 0$ and $b[i] \neq 0$ and $a$ and $b$ are not orthogonal
$\Rightarrow$ No $b \in B$ orthogonal to $a$

## Dynamic Algorithm

Given: Sets of $d$-dimensional vectors $A$ and $B$ of size $|A|=|B|=n$ Question: Are there $a \in \mathrm{~A}$ and $b \in B$ such that $a$ and $b$ are orthogonal?

For fixed $a \in A$ : edge (s, $i$ ) iff $a[i] \neq 0$ edge $(i, b)$ iff $b[i] \neq 0$

For each $a \in A$ :
For every $a[i] \neq 0$ : insert edge $(s, i)$
Query number of nodes reachable from $s$
If \#nodes reachable from $s<n+k+1$ : output 'yes'
Delete all edges leaving $s$
Output 'no'

## Running Time

Assumption: There is a fully dynamic algorithm for \#SSR with amortized update time $n^{1-\epsilon}$ and query time $n^{1-\epsilon}$

For each $a \in A$ :
For every $a_{i} \neq 0$ : insert edge ( $s, i$ )
Query number of nodes reachable from $s$
If \#nodes reachable from $s<n+k+1$ : output 'yes'
Delete all edges leaving $s$
Output 'no'
\#nodes: $n+d+1=O(n+d)$
\#insertions: $\leq n d$
\#deletions: $\leq n d$
\#queries: $\leq n$
Total time: $O\left(n d \cdot(n+d)^{1-\epsilon}+n \cdot(n+d)^{1-\epsilon}\right)=n^{2-\epsilon} \cdot \operatorname{poly}(d)$
Contradicts OV Hypothesis!

## Worst-Case Lower Bound

Assumption: There is an incremental algorithm for \#SSR with worstcase update time $n^{1-\epsilon}$ and query time $n^{1-\epsilon}$

## Fully dynamic

For every $i$ and $b \in B$, insert:
For each $a \in A$ :
For every $a_{i} \neq 0$ : insert edge $(s, i)$
Query number of nodes reachable from $s$
If \#nodes reachable from $s<n+k+1$ : output 'yes'
Delete all edges leaving $s$
Output 'no'

## Incremental

Instead of deleting edges leaving $s$ :

1. Observe complete state of the machine after initialization and before inserting first edges $(s, i)$
2. Record changes to the state while processing insertions: $O\left(d(n+d)^{1-\epsilon}\right)$ (changes to memory cells, etc.)
3. Undo changes and roll back to state before insertions

Takes same amount of time as processing insertions: $O\left(d(n+d)^{1-\epsilon}\right)$

## 2. OMv Conjecture and equivalence to OuMv

## Boolean Matrix Multiplication

Input: Boolean (0/1) matrices $A$ and $B$
Output: $A \times B$ where + is $\operatorname{OR}$ and $*$ is AND


## Online Boolean Matrix Multiplication

Input: Boolean $n \times n$ matrix $M$ Online sequence of vectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in\{0,1\}^{n}$

Output: $M v_{i}$ before $v_{i+1}$ arrives


OMv Conjecture: No algorithm with total time $O\left(n^{3-\epsilon}\right)$ (for some $\epsilon>0$ ). (not even with polynomial-time preprocessing)

## Motivation

－Column－wise BMM：second matrix is given as sequence of vectors
－If OMv is refuted：radical new approach for fast BMM （Conceptually very different from Strassen－like approaches）
－Provides tight lower bounds for a dozen of dynamic graph problems
－Most of the reductions are almost trivial

Before obtaining useful lower bounds：hardness of intermediate problems

## Hardness for Sequence of Length $\sqrt{n}$

Lemma: Cannot solve OMv for sequences of length $\sqrt{n}$ in total time $n^{2.5-\epsilon}$ (for some $\epsilon>0$ ), unless original OMv Conjecture fails.

To handle OMv for sequence of length $n$ :
Restart algorithm after each subsequence of length $\sqrt{n}$
Time: $\sqrt{n} \cdot n^{2.5-\epsilon}=n^{3-\epsilon}$ (contradicting OMv)

## OuMv Problem

Input: Boolean $n \times n$ matrix $M$ Online sequence of pairs of vectors $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$

Output: $u_{i}^{T} M v_{i}$ before $\left(u_{i+1}, v_{i+1}\right)$ arrives

Main difference to OMv: $n$ output bits instead of $n^{2}$

Theorem: If there is an algorithm for OuMv with running time $T(n)=$ $n^{3-\epsilon}$, then

- there is an algorithm with running time $O\left(n^{2.5-\epsilon}\right)$ for OMv of length $\sqrt{n}$ and thus
- there is an algorithm with running time $O\left(n^{3-\epsilon}\right)$ for OMv


## Using OuMv to Find a Single Witness

Can we find a witness index $t$ s.t. $u[t]=1$ and $(M v)[t]=1$ ?
Idea: Bisection of index set
(At least) one half must contain witness


Can isolate a single witness with $O(\log n)$ queries

## Finding all Witnesses

## Repeat:

- Set $u[t]=0$ for every witness $t$ found so far
- Find new witness

Until no witness found anymore
We spend $O(\log n)$ queries per witness
$w_{i}$ : \#witnesses of $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$
Find all witnesses of $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ with $O\left(1+w_{i} \log n\right)$ queries
Find all witnesses of $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ with $O\left(n+\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \log n\right)$ queries

But: Need to restart after $n$ queries
Total time: $O\left(\frac{n+\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \log n}{n} \cdot T(n)\right)=O\left(\left(1+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \log n}{n}\right) \cdot T(n)\right)$
$T(n)$ : running time of OuMv algorithm on $n \times n$ matrix and sequence of length $n$

## Witnesses for OR-OMv Subproblem

## OR-OMv Subproblem:

- Given $n \times n$ Boolean matrices $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{k}$
- Online sequence $k$-tuples of vectors $\in\{0,1\}^{n}$ :

$$
\left(v_{1,1}, \ldots, v_{1, k}\right), \ldots,\left(v_{n, 1}, \ldots, v_{n, k}\right)
$$

- Compute $M_{1} v_{i, 1} \vee \cdots \vee M_{k} v_{i, k}$ online

Algorithm: $k$ "witness-finding" instances of OuMv

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Set } u_{i, 1}=(1, \ldots, 1)^{T} \\
& \text { For } j=1 \text { to } k \text { : } \\
& \quad b_{i, j}=\text { vector of witnesses of } u_{i, j} M_{j} v_{i, j} \\
& \quad u_{i, j+1}=u_{i, j}-b_{i, j} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$


Idea: No 1 -entry at any position $t$ is "lost" from result because position $t$ set to 0 in $u_{i, j}$ only if result already contains 1 at position $t$

$$
\text { Observation: } b_{i, 1} \vee \cdots \vee b_{i, k}=M_{1} v_{i, 1} \vee \cdots \vee M_{k} v_{i, k}
$$

$W_{j}$ : \#witnesses found by instance $j$
Running time: $O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(1+\frac{W_{j} \log n}{n}\right) \cdot T(n)\right)=O\left(\left(k+\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k} W_{j}}{n}\right) \cdot T(n) \log n\right)$

## Multiplication via Smaller Blocks


$\sqrt{n}$ instances of OR-OMv subproblem with parameters $n^{\prime}=\sqrt{n}$ and $k=\sqrt{n}$

## Total running time:

$O\left(\sqrt{n} \cdot\left(k+n^{\prime}\right) \log n^{\prime} \cdot T\left(n^{\prime}\right)\right)$
$=O\left(\sqrt{n} \cdot(\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{n}) \log n^{\prime} \cdot T\left(n^{\prime}\right)\right)$
$=O(n \log n \cdot T(\sqrt{n}))$
$=O\left(n \log n \cdot n^{1.5-\epsilon}\right)$
$=O\left(n^{2.5-\delta}\right)$
Contradicts OMv conjecture

## 3．OMv－Hardness of Graph Problems

## Edge Query Problem
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2. $n$ Queries
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## 2. $n$ Queries

$\operatorname{Set}\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$
$\operatorname{Edge}\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ ? $\operatorname{Set}\left(L_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ Edge $\left(L_{2}, R_{2}\right)$ ?
$\operatorname{Set}\left(L_{n}, R_{n}\right)$
$\operatorname{Edge}\left(L_{n}, R_{n}\right)$ ?

## Edge Query Problem

Preprocess:


## Input: $\quad\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ <br> $\left(L_{n}, R_{n}\right)$

Output: Any edge linking $L_{1}$ and $R_{1}$ ?
Any edge linking $L_{n}$ and $R_{n}$ ?

## Theorem

## Edge Query <br> - Preprocess: poly(n) <br> - Time (for $n$ queries): $n^{3-\epsilon}$

OMv conjecture fails

## Reduction from OuMv to Edge－Query

Input Problem：OuMv on $n \times n$ matrix $M$ with online sequence $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$
$G$ ：graph defined by adjacency matrix $M^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & M \\ M^{T} & 0\end{array}\right]$
Symmetry
When vector pair $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ arrives perform edge query with
$S_{i}$ ：set indicated by $x_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}u_{i} \\ 0\end{array}\right]$
$T_{i}$ ：set indicated by $y_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ v_{i}\end{array}\right]$

Observation 1：$x_{i}^{T} M^{\prime} y_{i}=u_{i}^{T} M v_{i}$

Observation 2：There is an edge in $E\left(S_{i}, T_{i}\right)$ iff $x_{i}^{T} M^{\prime} y_{i}=1$

## We will show...

## Fully dynamic SSR <br> - Preprocess: poly(n) <br> - Update: $n^{1-\epsilon}$ (amorized) <br> - Query: $n^{2-\epsilon}$



## Edge Query

- Preprocess: poly(n)
- Time (for $n$ queries): $n^{3-\epsilon}$


## OMv conjecture fails



Recomputation from scratch upon query is optimal point on trade-off curve!

Lower bound on worst-case incremental/decremental: rollback technique!

## Edge Query



## Edge $\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right) ?$
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## Edge Query

SSR


After knowing "Edge( $\left.L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ ?", UNDO.


## Edge $\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right) ?$

## Edge Query

## SSR




Use $O(n)$ updates.

## $\operatorname{Edge}\left(L_{2}, R_{2}\right) ?($ another example)
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## ss-Reach

- Preprocess: poly(n)
- Update $n^{1-6}$ (amortized)
- Query: $n^{2}$


## Edge Query

# For $i=1, \ldots, n$ <br> Edge $\left(L_{i}, R_{i}\right)$ ? 

## Can answer using \#updates: $0\left(n^{2}\right)$ \#queries: $n$

## Edge Query

- Preprocess: poly(n)
- Time (for $n$ queries):



## ss-Reach

- Preprocess: poly(n)
- Update: $n^{1-\epsilon}$ (amortized)
- Query $n^{2-\epsilon}$


## Edge Query

# For $i=1, \ldots, n$ Edge $\left(L_{i}, R_{i}\right)$ ? 

Can answer using \#update s:0 $\left(n^{2}\right)$ \#queries: $n$

## Edge Query

- Preprocess: poly (n)
- Time (for $n$ queries):

$+n \times n^{2-\epsilon}$
$\leq O\left(n^{3-\epsilon}\right)$



## Many popular conjectures...

## Conjectures

## BMM

(Boolean Matrix Multiplication)
3SUM
Multiphase
(Based on 3SUM)

Triangle<br>APSP<br>(All Pair Shortest Path)

## SETH

(Strong Exponential Time Hypothesis)
Matching Triangle
(Based on 3SUM, APSP and SETH)

informatik
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