## Parameterized Algorithms

## Lecture 11: Advanced Kernelization Techniques July 17, 2020

Max-Planck Institute for Informatics, Germany.

## Kernelization.

Compress an instance (X, k) to an instance (X', k') such that  $|X'| + |k'| \le poly(k)$ 

We can solve (X, k) in polynomial time given a solution to (X', k')

## Kernelization.

Compress an instance (X, k) to an instance (X', k') such that  $|X'| + |k'| \le poly(k)$ 

We can solve (X, k) in polynomial time given a solution to (X', k')

Many Problems don't have polynomial kernels

## **Turing** Kernelization

Compress an instance (X, k) to **several** instances  $\{(X_i, k_i)\}$  such that  $|X_i| + |k_i| \le poly(k)$ 

We can solve (X, k) in polynomial time given solutions to  $\{(X_i, k_i)\}$ 

## Lossy Kernelization

Compress an instance (X, k) to an instance (X', k') such that  $|X'| + |k'| \le poly(k)$ 

We can compute an approximate solution to (X, k) from an approximate solution to (X', k') **Turing Kernelization** 

Given a graph G, and integer k is there a sub-tree with at least k leaves ?

 $\bullet\,$  When G is connected MLS has a polynomial kernel.

- Reduction Rule 1: Contract a degree 2 vertex with non-adjacent neighbors that are also degree 2.
- Lemma: When RR1 is not applicable, and there are more that  $6k^2 + k$  vertices, the given instance is a YES instance.

- **Reduction Rule 1:** Contract a degree 2 vertex with non-adjacent neighbors that are also degree 2.
- Lemma: When RR1 is not applicable, and there are more that  $6k^2 + k$  vertices, the given instance is a YES instance.
- $\bullet\,$  Pick a sequence of vertices, S in the following manner
  - Initially all vertices are unmarked.
  - While there is an unmarked vertex of degree  $\geq 3$ :
    - Pick a largest degree unmarked vertex  $\boldsymbol{v}$  into  $\boldsymbol{S}$
    - Mark  $N^2[v] = \{v\} \cup \{u \mid \mathsf{dist}(u, v) \le 2\}$
  - Let  $S = \{v_1, v_2 \dots v_r\}$
- Observation:  $N[v_i] \cap N[v_j] = \emptyset$

- Claim 1: If  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} d(v_i) 2 \ge k$  then we have a YES instance
- Start with a forest where each  $v_i$  is the center of a star, then grow it into a (spanning) tree by connecting these stars with r-1 paths.
- The resulting tree has at least  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} d(v_i) 2 \ge k$  leaves.

- Claim 1: If  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} d(v_i) 2 \ge k$  then we have a YES instance
- Start with a forest where each  $v_i$  is the center of a star, then grow it into a (spanning) tree by connecting these stars with r-1 paths.
- The resulting tree has at least  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} d(v_i) 2 \ge k$  leaves.
- Claim 2: If  $r \ge k$  then we have a YES instance
- Because each  $v \in S$  has degree at least 3.

- Claim 3: If there is a vertex v and a number d such that  $|\{u \mid dist(u, v) = d\}| \ge k$  then we have a YES instance.
- For each vertex u, pick some path of length **exactly** d to v
- The union of these paths is a subtree with  $\geq k$  leaves.
- The key observation is that some  $u_i$  is not an internal vertex on the path for  $u_i$ , as they are both at distance d from v.

- Claim 4: For some number *d*, if there at least *rk* vertices at distance exactly *d* from *S*, then we have a YES instance.
- There are r vertices in S, hence there is some vertex in  $v \in S$  for which there are at least k vertices at distance exactly d from v
- The previous claim implies we have a YES instance.

- Let  $N^2[S] = S \cup \{u \mid \operatorname{dist}(v, u) \le 2 \text{ for some } v \in S\}.$
- Claim 5: The number of connected components in  $G N^2[S]$  is at most  $k^2$ .
- As G is connected, each connected component of  $G N^2[S]$  has a vertex of distance exactly 3 from S.
- By the above claim, the number of vertices at distance exactly 3 is at most  $rk \leq k^2$ .

- Claim 6: Any connected component  $G N^2[S]$  contains at most 4 vertices.
- $H = G N^2[S]$  contains only vertices of degree 2 or less. So it is a collection of paths, cycles and isolated vertices.
- If some component C of H had 5 vertices, then there will be a degree-2 vertex with two degree-2 neighbors (in G) and RR1 applies

- Claim 7: If RR1 is not applicable, and we have more than  $6k^2 + k$  vertices, then we have a YES instance.
- By Claim 2,  $|S| = r \le k$  (else a YES instance)
- By Claim 3, for d = 1, 2 there are at most 2k<sup>2</sup> vertices at distance 1 or 2 from S, (else a YES instance)
- Hence  $|N^2[S]| = k + 2k^2$ .
- By Claim 5, number of connected components in G N<sup>2</sup>[S] is at most k<sup>2</sup>, (else a YES instance)
- By Claim 6, each connected component has at most 4 vertices. Hence total number of vertices in  $G - N^2[S]$  is at most  $4k^2$ , (else RR1 is applicable)
- In total there can be at most  $6k^2 + k$  vertices. Otherwise, we already have a YES instance, or RR1 is applicable.

Given a graph G, and integer k is there a sub-tree with at least k leaves ?

 $\bullet\,$  When G is connected MLS has a polynomial kernel.

Given a graph G, and integer k is there a sub-tree with at least k leaves ?

- $\bullet$  When G is connected MLS has a polynomial kernel.
- $\bullet$  However, when G is disconnected MLS has no polynomial kernel.

Given a graph G, and integer k is there a sub-tree with at least k leaves ?

- $\bullet$  When G is connected MLS has a polynomial kernel.
- $\bullet$  However, when G is disconnected MLS has no polynomial kernel.

OR Composition: Take a disjoint union of connected MLS instances

# Turing Kernelization

## Definition (Turing Kernel)

Let Q be a parameterized problem, and let  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be a computable function. A **Turing Kernel** for Q of size f is an algorithm that can decide if an instance of the problem is a YES instance in polynomial time, given access to an Oracle that solves instance of size f(k) in unit time.

- MAX LEAF SUBTREE admits a Turing Kernel of size  $6k^2 + k$ .
- Just kernelize each connected component separately
- Then if, any component (and it's kernel) is a YES instance, then the input is a YES instance.

# **Turing Kernelization**

٠

- For MLS, we produced O(n) Turing Kernels, all independent of each other, more or less directly.
- However, we can also produce Turing Kernels in a more complex ways.

the *i*-th kernel depends on the Oracle's answers to the previous (i - 1) kernels

- Such kind of Turing Kernels are known for k-Path on certain graph classes.
- There is some lower-bound machinery, such as STEINER TREE and CONNECTED VERTEX COVER are unlikely to admit Turing Kernels.

## Lossy Kernels

### Kernelization + Approximation

# **Kernelization**

• Formal Study of Preprocessing / Data Reduction Heuristics

## **Kernelization**

- A parameterized language is defined as  $L\subseteq \Sigma^*\times \mathbb{N}$  where,  $\Sigma$  is a finite alphabet.
- A parameterized problem w.r.t L is to decide if a given  $(x,k) \in \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N}$ is in the language or not.
  - (x, k) is called a parameterized instance.

 $L_{VC} = \{ (G, k) \mid G \text{ has a}$ Vertex Cover of size  $k \}$ 

# **Kernelization**

• Formal Study of Preprocessing / Data Reduction Heuristics



Given an instance (G, k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance (G', k') such that,

- both instances are **Equivalent**
- $\bullet \; |G'|, |k'| \leq \mathsf{poly}(k)$

The polynomial time algorithm is called a Kernelization Algorithm

**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 



Ö

**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree  $\boldsymbol{0}$ 



Ö

**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree  $\boldsymbol{0}$ 



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree  $\boldsymbol{0}$ 



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree  $\boldsymbol{0}$ 

### Reduction Rule 2 :

Remove a vertex of degree  $\geq k+1$  and decrease  $k\,$  by  $\,1$ 



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree  $\boldsymbol{0}$ 

### Reduction Rule 2 :

Remove a vertex of degree  $\geq k+1$  and decrease  $k\,$  by  $\,1$ 



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree  $\boldsymbol{0}$ 

### Reduction Rule 2 :

Remove a vertex of degree  $\geq k+1$  and decrease  $k\,$  by  $\,1$ 



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree 0

## Reduction Rule 2 :

Remove a vertex of degree  $\geq k+1$  and decrease k by 1



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree 0

## Reduction Rule 2 :

Remove a vertex of degree  $\geq k+1$  and decrease  $k\,$  by  $\,1$ 



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree 0

### Reduction Rule 2 :

Remove a vertex of degree  $\geq k+1$  and decrease k by 1



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree 0

### Reduction Rule 2 :

Remove a vertex of degree  $\geq k+1$  and decrease k by 1


**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree 0

#### Reduction Rule 2 :

Remove a vertex of degree  $\geq k+1$  and decrease k by 1



Apply these Reduction Rules Exhaustively !

**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree 0

#### Reduction Rule 2 :

Remove a vertex of degree  $\geq k+1$  and decrease k by 1



Apply these Reduction Rules Exhaustively !

**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree 0

#### Reduction Rule 2 :

Remove a vertex of degree  $\geq k+1$  and decrease k by 1



Apply these Reduction Rules Exhaustively !

**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

<u>Observation 1 :</u> Every vertex has at least one edge incident on it



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

<u>Observation 1</u>: Every vertex has at least one edge incident on it

<u>Observation 2</u>: Every vertex has at most k edges incident on it



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

<u>Observation 3:</u> Either G has at most  $k^2$  edges (and at most  $2k^2$  vertices)



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

<u>Observation 3:</u> Either G has at most  $k^2$  edges (and at most  $2k^2$  vertices)



**Output** :  $G' \leftarrow G$  and  $k' \leftarrow k$ 

**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

<u>Observation 3:</u> Either G has at most  $k^2$  edges (and at most  $2k^2$ vertices)

Or there are more than  $k^2$  edges, which cannot be covered by k vertices of degree k



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Output:** A graph G' with at most  $2k^2$  vertices and a number  $k' \leq k$ 

<u>Observation 3:</u> Either G has at most  $k^2$  edges (and at most  $2k^2$ vertices)

Or there are more than  $k^2$  edges, which cannot be covered by kvertices of degree k



k = 4

**Output** :  $G' \leftarrow$  any  $k^2 + 1$  edges of G and  $k' \leftarrow k$ 

- Thus  $(G,k) \, {\rm and} \, (G',k')$  are equivalent instances and  $|G'|,|k'| \leq 2k^2$ 





- Thus (G,k) and (G',k') are equivalent instances and  $|G'|,|k'|\leq 2k^2$ 

Can we compute a solution to (G,k) if we are given a solution to  $(G^\prime,k^\prime)$  ?



- Thus (G,k) and (G',k') are equivalent instances and  $|G'|,|k'|\leq 2k^2$ 

Can we compute a solution to (G,k) if we are given a solution to  $(G^\prime,k^\prime)$  ?



 $k' = \Lambda$ 

Yes we can !

- Thus (G,k) and (G',k') are equivalent instances and  $|G'|,|k'|\leq 2k^2$ 

Can we compute a solution to (G,k) if we are given a solution to  $(G^\prime,k^\prime)$  ?







- Thus (G,k) and (G',k') are equivalent instances and  $|G'|,|k'|\leq 2k^2$ 

Can we compute a solution to (G,k) if we are given a solution to  $(G^\prime,k^\prime)$  ?

This is true of many kernelization algorithms for many problems.





Given an instance (G, k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance (G', k') such that,

• both instances are Equivalent

• 
$$|G'|, |k'| \leq \mathsf{poly}(k)$$



Given an instance (G, k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance (G', k') such that,

• both instances are Equivalent



Given an instance (G, k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance (G', k') such that,

• both instances are Equivalent



Take a solution S' of (G', k') and turn it into a solution S for (G, k)

Given an instance (G, k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance (G', k') such that,

• both instances are Equivalent



Take a solution S' of (G', k') and turn it into a solution S for (G, k)

Given an instance (G, k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance (G', k') such that,

• both instances are Equivalent



Take a solution S' of (G', k') and turn it into a solution S for (G, k)

But what is the "quality" of the solution S compared to S' ?

Given an instance (G, k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance (G', k') such that,

- both instances are Equivalent
- $\bullet \; |G'|, |k'| \leq \mathsf{poly}(k)$

We need some more definitions :)

Given an instance (G,k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance  $(G^\prime,k^\prime)$  such that,

- both instances are Equivalent
- $\bullet \; |G'|, |k'| \leq \mathsf{poly}(k)$

A parameterized minimization problem is defined as  $\Pi: \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N} \times \Sigma^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}.$ 

Given an instance (G, k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance (G', k') such that,

- both instances are Equivalent
- $\bullet \; |G'|, |k'| \leq \mathsf{poly}(k)$

A parameterized minimization problem is defined as

$$\Pi: \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N} \times \Sigma^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}.$$
  
Graph Parameter Solution set

Given an instance (G,k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance  $(G^\prime,k^\prime)$  such that,

- both instances are Equivalent
- $\bullet \; |G'|, |k'| \leq \mathsf{poly}(k)$

A parameterized minimization problem is defined as

$$\Pi: \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N} \times \Sigma^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}.$$
  
Graph Parameter Solution set

 $\Pi_{VC}(G,k,S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \infty & \text{if }S \text{ is not a vertex cover} \\ \min\{|S|,k+1\} & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$ 

Given an instance (G,k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance  $(G^\prime,k^\prime)$  such that,

- both instances are Equivalent
- $\bullet \; |G'|, |k'| \leq \mathsf{poly}(k)$

A parameterized minimization problem is defined as

$$\Pi: \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N} \times \Sigma^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}.$$
  
Graph Parameter Solution set

$$\Pi_{VC}(G,k,S) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } S \text{ is not a vertex cover} \\ \min\{|S|,k+1\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
Value of the solution  $S$ 

Given an instance (G,k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance  $(G^\prime,k^\prime)$  such that,

- both instances are Equivalent
- $\bullet \; |G'|, |k'| \leq \mathsf{poly}(k)$

 $\Pi_V$ 

A parameterized minimization problem is defined as

$$\begin{split} \Pi: \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N} \times \Sigma^* & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}.\\ \text{Graph Parameter Solution set} \\ C(G,k,S) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \infty \quad \text{if $S$ is not a vertex cover}\\ \min\{|S|,k+1\} \quad \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

Value of the solution S We are only interested in

solutions of cardinality  $\leq k$ 

Given an instance (G,k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance  $(G^\prime,k^\prime)$  such that,

- both instances are Equivalent
- $\bullet \; |G'|, |k'| \leq \mathsf{poly}(k)$

A parameterized minimization problem is defined as

$$\Pi: \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N} \times \Sigma^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}.$$
$$\Pi_{VC}(G, k, S) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } S \text{ is not a vertex cover} \\ \min\{|S|, k+1\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Given an instance (G,k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance  $(G^\prime,k^\prime)$  such that,

- both instances are Equivalent
- $\bullet \; |G'|, |k'| \leq \mathsf{poly}(k)$

A parameterized minimization problem is defined as

$$\Pi: \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N} \times \Sigma^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}.$$
$$\Pi_{VC}(G, k, S) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } S \text{ is not a vertex cover} \\ \min\{|S|, k+1\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If  $S^*$  is an optimum solution to (G,k), then for any S the quality of S is  $\frac{\Pi(G,k,S)}{\Pi(G,k,S^*)}$ 

Given an instance (G,k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance  $(G^\prime,k^\prime)$  such that,

- both instances are Equivalent
- $\bullet \; |G'|, |k'| \leq \mathsf{poly}(k)$

A parameterized minimization problem is defined as

$$\begin{split} \Pi: \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N} \times \Sigma^* & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}.\\ \Pi_{VC}(G,k,S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \infty & \text{if } S \text{ is not a vertex cover} \\ \min\{|S|,k+1\} & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

If  $S^*$  is an optimum solution to (G,k), then for any S the quality of S is  $\frac{\Pi(G,k,S)}{\Pi(G,k,S^*)}$  a.k.a the Approximation Ratio

Given an instance (G,k), run a polynomial time algorithm and produce an instance  $(G^\prime,k^\prime)$  such that,

- both instances are Equivalent
- $\bullet \; |G'|, |k'| \leq \mathsf{poly}(k)$

A parameterized minimization problem is defined as

 $\Pi: \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N} \times \Sigma^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}.$ 

Given a quality c solution to (G', k') find a solution to (G, k) of the same quality in polynomial time !

If  $S^*$  is an optimum solution to (G,k), then for any S the quality of S is  $\frac{\Pi(G,k,S)}{\Pi(G,k,S^*)}$  a.k.a the Approximation Ratio





Lets generalize this notion a bit more



Allow a loss factor in kernelization / solution lifting process



Lossy Kernels !



#### Connected Vertex Cover

**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Ö
**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?

This problem cannot have a Polynomial Kernel.



 $\mathbf{O}$ 

**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree  $\boldsymbol{0}$ 



 $\cap$ 

**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree  $\boldsymbol{0}$ 

# Reduction Rule 2 :

Remove a vertex of degree  $\geq k+1$  and decrease  $k\,$  by  $\,1$ 



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?

#### Reduction Rule 1 :

Remove all vertices of degree  $\boldsymbol{0}$ 

# Reduction Rule 2 :

Remove a vertex of degree  $\geq k+1$  and decrease  $k\,$  by  $\,1$ 





**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?

- H : vertices of degree  $\geq k+1$
- I : vertices whose neighborhood is contained in H
- ${}^{\bullet}\,R$  : the remaining vertices



**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?

- H : vertices of degree  $\geq k+1$
- I : vertices whose neighborhood is contained in H
- ${}^{\bullet}\,R$  : the remaining vertices



Normally, we remove  $I\,$  but they connect subsets of H And |I| could be very large.

**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?

- H : vertices of degree  $\geq k+1$
- I : vertices whose neighborhood is contained in H
- ${}^{\bullet} \, R$  : the remaining vertices



Normally, we remove  $I\,$  but they connect subsets of H And |I| could be very large.

But this problem admits a lossy polynomial kernel !

• A kernelization algorithm sequence of applications reduction rules.

• A kernelization algorithm sequence of applications reduction rules.

 $(I,k) \iff (I_1,k_1) \iff (I_2,k_2) \iff \dots \iff (I_\ell,k_\ell)$ 

- A kernelization algorithm sequence of applications reduction rules.
- Applying a  $\alpha$ -lossy reduction rule  $\ell$  times leads to a  $\alpha^{\ell}$  loss.

 $(I,k) \iff (I_1,k_1) \iff (I_2,k_2) \iff \dots \iff (I_\ell,k_\ell)$ 

- A kernelization algorithm sequence of applications reduction rules.
- Applying a  $\alpha$ -lossy reduction rule  $\ell$  times leads to a  $\alpha^{\ell}$  loss.



- A kernelization algorithm sequence of applications reduction rules.
- Applying a  $\alpha$ -lossy reduction rule  $\ell$  times leads to a  $\alpha^{\ell}$  loss.



• We modify the definition to allow for repeated application

- A kernelization algorithm sequence of applications reduction rules.
- Applying a  $\alpha$ -lossy reduction rule  $\ell$  times leads to a  $\alpha^{\ell}$  loss.

$$(I,k) \iff (I_1,k_1) \iff (I_2,k_2) \iff \dots \iff (I_\ell,k_\ell)$$
  
$$\alpha\text{-loss}$$

• We modify the definition to allow for repeated application

Each (Reduction rule, Solution lifting algorithm) pair satisfies  $\frac{\Pi(I, k, s)}{\text{OPT}(I, k)} \leq max \Big\{ \frac{\Pi(I', k', s')}{\text{OPT}(I', k')}, \alpha \Big\}$ 

- A kernelization algorithm sequence of applications reduction rules.
- Applying a  $\alpha$ -lossy reduction rule  $\ell$  times leads to a  $\alpha^{\ell}$  loss.

$$(I,k) \iff (I_1,k_1) \iff (I_2,k_2) \iff \dots \iff (I_\ell,k_\ell)$$
  
$$\alpha\text{-loss}$$

• We modify the definition to allow for repeated application

Each (Reduction rule, Solution lifting algorithm) pair satisfies  $\frac{\Pi(I,k,s)}{\text{OPT}(I,k)} \leq max \Big\{ \frac{\Pi(I',k',s')}{\text{OPT}(I',k')}, \alpha \Big\}$ This is called an  $\alpha$ -safe reduction rule.

- A kernelization algorithm sequence of applications reduction rules.
- Applying a  $\alpha$ -lossy reduction rule  $\ell$  times leads to a  $\alpha^{\ell}$  loss.

$$(I,k) \iff (I_1,k_1) \iff (I_2,k_2) \iff \dots \iff (I_\ell,k_\ell)$$
  
$$\alpha\text{-loss}$$

• We modify the definition to allow for repeated application

Each (Reduction rule, Solution lifting algorithm) pair satisfies  $\frac{\Pi(I,k,s)}{\operatorname{OPT}(I,k)} \leq max \Big\{ \frac{\Pi(I',k',s')}{\operatorname{OPT}(I',k')}, \alpha \Big\} \begin{array}{c} \alpha \text{-safe reduction} \\ \text{rule.} \end{array}$ 

We can chain  $\alpha$ -safe reduction rules safely :)

- A kernelization algorithm sequence of applications reduction rules.
- Applying a  $\alpha$ -lossy reduction rule  $\ell$  times leads to a  $\alpha^{\ell}$  loss.

$$(I,k) \iff (I_1,k_1) \iff (I_2,k_2) \iff \dots \iff (I_\ell,k_\ell)$$
  
$$\alpha\text{-loss}$$

• We modify the definition to allow for repeated application

Each (Reduction rule, Solution lifting algorithm) pair satisfies  $\frac{\Pi(I,k,s)}{\text{OPT}(I,k)} \leq max \Big\{ \frac{\Pi(I',k',s')}{\text{OPT}(I',k')}, \alpha \Big\} \begin{array}{c} \alpha \text{-safe reduction} \\ \text{rule.} \end{array}$ 

Solution quality is always  $\alpha$  or better !

- A kernelization algorithm sequence of applications reduction rules.
- Applying a  $\alpha$ -lossy reduction rule  $\ell$  times leads to a  $\alpha^{\ell}$  loss.

$$(I,k) \iff (I_1,k_1) \iff (I_2,k_2) \iff \dots \iff (I_\ell,k_\ell)$$
  
$$\alpha\text{-loss}$$

• We modify the definition to allow for repeated application

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Each (Reduction rule, Solution lifting algorithm) pair satisfies} \\ & \frac{\Pi(I,k,s)}{\operatorname{OPT}(I,k)} \leq max \Big\{ \frac{\Pi(I',k',s')}{\operatorname{OPT}(I',k')}, \alpha \Big\} \begin{array}{l} \alpha \mbox{-safe reduction} \\ & \mbox{rule.} \\ & \mbox{Assuming that the} \\ & \mbox{Solution quality is always } \alpha \mbox{ or better } ! \\ & \mbox{quality } \alpha \mbox{ or better} \\ \end{array} \right.$ 

**Input:** A graph G and a number k

**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?

- H : vertices of degree  $\geq k+1$
- I : vertices whose neighborhood is contained in H
- ${}^{\bullet}\,R$  : the remaining vertices



**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



If there here is a vertex of degree  $\geq d$  in I



If there here is a vertex of degree  $\geq d$  in I

**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?





#### Reduction Rule :

If there is a vertex  $v \in I$  that has d neighbors (in H), then contract  $\{v, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d\}$  into a single vertex  $w \in H$  (by add k + 1 new neighbors)  $k' \leftarrow k - d + 1$ 

**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Solution Lifting Algorithm :

Return 
$$S=S'-w\cup\{v,h_1,\ldots,h_d\}$$

**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Solution Lifting Algorithm :

Return 
$$S=S'-w\cup\{v,h_1,\ldots,h_d\}$$

No loss of connectivity But may use 1 extra vertex

**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Solution Lifting Algorithm :

Return 
$$S=S'-w\cup\{v,h_1,\ldots,h_d\}$$



**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Solution Lifting Algorithm :

Return 
$$S=S'-w\cup\{v,h_1,\ldots,h_d\}$$

This is  $\alpha$ -safe



**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Solution Lifting Algorithm :

Return  $S = S' - w \cup \{v, h_1, \dots, h_d\}$ <u>Claim 1:</u>  $OPT(G', k') \le OPT(G, k) - d + 1$ 



**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Solution Lifting Algorithm :

Return 
$$S = S' - w \cup \{v, h_1, \dots, h_d\}$$
  
Claim 1:  $OPT(G', k') \le OPT(G, k) - d + 1$ 

If  $\tilde{S}$  is an optimum solution set for (G, k)Then  $S' = \tilde{S}/\{v, h_1, \ldots, h_d\} + w$ is a solution set for (G', k')



**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Solution Lifting Algorithm :

Return  $S = S' - w \cup \{v, h_1, \dots, h_d\}$ <u>Claim 1:</u>  $OPT(G', k') \leq OPT(G, k) - d + 1$ <u>Claim 2:</u>  $CVC(G, k, S) \leq CVC(G', k', S') + d$ 

**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Solution Lifting Algorithm :

Return  $S = S' - w \cup \{v, h_1, \dots, h_d\}$ <u>Claim 1:</u>  $OPT(G', k') \leq OPT(G, k) - d + 1$ <u>Claim 2:</u>  $CVC(G, k, S) \leq CVC(G', k', S') + d$ Remove 1 vertex and add d + 1

**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Solution Lifting Algorithm :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Return } S &= S' - w \cup \{v, h_1, \dots, h_d\} \\ \underline{Claim \ 1:} \ OPT(G', k') &\leq OPT(G, k) - d + 1 \\ \underline{Claim \ 2:} \ CVC(G, k, S) &\leq CVC(G', k', S') + d \\ \underline{VC(G, k, S)} &\leq \frac{CVC(G', k', S') + d}{OPT(G', k') + (d - 1)} &\leq max \Big\{ \frac{CVC(G', k', S')}{OPT(G', k')}, \alpha \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Solution Lifting Algorithm :



**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Solution Lifting Algorithm :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Return } S &= S' - w \cup \{v, h_1, \dots, h_d\} \\ \underline{Claim \ 1:} \ OPT(G', k') &\leq OPT(G, k) - d + 1 \\ \underline{Claim \ 2:} \ CVC(G, k, S) &\leq CVC(G', k', S') + d \\ \underline{VC(G, k, S)}_{OPT(G, k)} &\leq \frac{CVC(G', k', S') + d}{OPT(G', k') + (d - 1)} \leq max \Big\{ \frac{CVC(G', k', S')}{OPT(G', k')}, \alpha \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence Reduction Rule 2 is  $\alpha$ -safe

**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



<u>Reduction Rule :</u>

Remove any vertex in I with more than k+1 twins

**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Every vertex in I must have a neighbor in H And any  $h_1 \ h_2 \cdots h_d$  has no common neighbor in I

**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Every vertex in I must have a neighbor in HAnd any  $h_1$   $h_2 \cdots h_d$  has no common neighbor in I

So any vertex in I has degree  $\leq d-1$
## Connected Vertex Cover

**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Every vertex in I must have a neighbor in H And any  $h_1 \ h_2 \cdots h_d$  has no common neighbor in I

So any vertex in I has degree  $\leq d-1$ 

The size of G' is bounded by  $\mathcal{O}(k^d + k^2)$ 

## Connected Vertex Cover

**Input:** A graph G and a number k**Question:** Is there a vertex cover of value k that is also connected ?



Every vertex in I must have a neighbor in H And any  $h_1 \ h_2 \cdots h_d$  has no common neighbor in I

So any vertex in I has degree  $\leq d-1$ 

The size of G' is bounded by  $\mathcal{O}(k^d+k^2)$ 

Hence a Lossy Polynomial Kernel for CVC !

## Thank you