## Appendix A

## Notation and Preliminaries

This appendix sums up important notation, definitions, and key lemmas that are not the main focus of the lecture.

## A. 1 Numbers and Sets

In this lecture, zero is not a natural number: $0 \notin \mathbb{N}$; we just write $\mathbb{N}_{0}:=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ whenever we need it. $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the integers, $\mathbb{Q}$ the rational numbers, and $\mathbb{R}$ the real numbers. We use $\mathbb{R}^{+}=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x>0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \geq 0\}$, with similar notation for $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$.

Rounding down $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $\lfloor x\rfloor:=\max \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z \leq x\}$ and rounding up by $\lceil x\rceil:=\min \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z \geq x\}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we define $[n]:=\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, and for a set $M$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, $\binom{M}{k}:=\{N \subseteq M| | N \mid=k\}$ is the set of all subsets of $M$ that contain exactly $k$ elements.

## A. 2 Graphs

A finite set of vertices, also referred to as nodes $V$ together with edges $E \subseteq\binom{V}{2}$ defines a graph $G=(V, E)$. Unless specified otherwise, $G$ has $n=|V|$ vertices and $m=|E|$ edges. Since edges are sets of exactly two vertices $e=\{v, w\} \subseteq$ $V,{ }^{1}$ our graphs have no loops, are undirected and have no parallel edges. This definition does not include edge weights, either. All of this together is equivalent of saying that we deal with simple graphs.

If $e=\{v, w\} \in E$, the vertices $v$ and $w$ are adjacent, and $e$ is incident to $v$ and $w$, furthermore, $e^{\prime} \in E$ is adjacent to $e$ if $e \cap e^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. The neighborhood of $v$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{v}:=\{w \in V \mid\{v, w\} \in E\} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the set of vertices adjacent to $v$. The degree of $v$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{v}:=\left|N_{v}\right|, \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]the size of $v$ 's neighborhood. We denote by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta:=\max _{v \in V} \delta_{v} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

the maximum degree in $G$.
A $v_{1}-v_{d}$-path $p$ is a set of edges $p=\left\{\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{v_{d-1}, v_{d}\right\}\right\}$ such that $|\{e \in p \mid v \in e\}| \leq 2$ for all $v \in V$. $p$ has $|p| h o p s$, and we call $p$ a cycle if it visits all of its nodes exactly twice. The distance between $v, w \in V$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}(v, w):=\min \{|p| \mid p \text { is a } v \text { - } w \text {-path }\}, \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives rise to the diameter $D$ of $G$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D:=\max _{v, w \in V} \operatorname{dist}(v, w) \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the maximum pairwise distance between nodes.

## A.2.1 Weighted Graphs

A weighted graph is a graph $(V, E)$ together with weighting function $W: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; we write $G=(V, E, W)$. An edge $e \in E$ has weight $W(e)$, and an edge set $E^{\prime} \subseteq E$ has weight $W\left(E^{\prime}\right):=\sum_{e \in E^{\prime}} W(e)$. Observe that since paths are sets of edges, this definition captures the weight of a path $p: W(p)=\sum_{e \in p} W(e)$.

In weighted graphs, distances are more complex than in simple graphs, because there are several measures: the smallest weight of a path, and the number of hops. The distance between $v, w \in V$ is the weight of a shortest $v$ - $w$-path

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}(v, w):=\min \{W(p) \mid p \text { is a } v \text { - } w \text {-path }\} \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the hop distance is the smallest number of hops required to attain a shortest $v$-w-path is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hop}(v, w):=\min \{|p| \mid p \text { is } v-w-\text { path } \wedge W(p)=\operatorname{dist}(v, w)\} \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that shortest paths can be very long in terms of hops, even if there is some (non-shortest) path with few hops: Even if $\{v, w\} \in E, \operatorname{hop}(v, w)=n-1$ is still possible (think about a circle with one heavy and $n-1$ light edges).

## A.2.2 Trees and Forests

A forest is a cycle-free graph, and a tree is a connected forest. Trees have $n-1$ edges and a unique path between any pair of vertices. The tree $T=(V, E)$ is rooted if it has a designated root node $r \in V$; in which case it has a depth $d$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d:=\max _{v \in V} \operatorname{dist}(r, v) \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the maximum distance from any node to the root node.

## A.2.3 Cuts

Given a graph $G=(V, E)$ and distinct vertices $s, t \in V$, an $s$ - $t$ cut is a nontrivial partition of the vertices $V_{s} \dot{\cup} V_{t}=V$, such that $s \in V_{s}$ and $t \in V_{t}$. The weight of the cut is $\left|E \cap\left(V_{s} \times V_{t}\right)\right|$, i.e., the number of the edges connecting a vertex in $V_{s}$ with to a vertex in $V_{t}$ (in a weighted graph, the weight of the cut is the sum of those edges' weights). Alternatively, cuts can be represented as only the set $V_{s}\left(V_{t}=V \backslash V_{s}\right)$, or as the edge set $E \cap\left(V_{s} \times V_{t}\right)$.

## A. 3 Logarithms and Exponentiation

Logarithms are base 2 logarithms, unless specified otherwise. Iterated exponentiation is denoted by ${ }^{a} b$, which is the $a$-fold $\left(a \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)$ exponentiation of $b$ :

$$
{ }^{a} b:= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } a=0  \tag{A.9}\\ \left.b^{(a-1} b\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This is commonly referred to as power tower ${ }^{a} 2=2^{2 .^{2}} \cdot \log _{b}^{*} x$ answers the inverse question of how often the logarithm has to be iteratively applied to end up with a result of at most 1 :

$$
\log _{b}^{*} x:= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \leq 1  \tag{A.10}\\ 1+\log _{b}^{*}\left(\log _{b} x\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

A simple inductive check confirms $\log _{b}^{* a} b=a$.

## A. 4 Probability Theory

We use some basic tools from probability theory in order to analyze randomized algorithms. The first of these tools states that the probability that at least one of $k$ events occur is bounded by the sum of the individual events' probabilities.


$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left[\bigcup_{i \in[k]} \mathcal{E}_{i}\right] \leq \sum_{i \in[k]} p_{i} \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is tight if $\mathcal{E}_{[k]}$ are disjoint.
Another key property is that expectation is compatible with summation:
Theorem A. 2 (Linearity of Expectation). Let $X_{i}$ for $i \in[k]$ denote random variables. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i \in[k]} X_{i}\right]=\sum_{i \in[k]} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right] \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Markov's inequality and Chernoff's bound both bound the probability that a random variable attains a very different value from its expected value. The preconditions for Markov's inequality are much weaker than those for Chernoff's bound, but the latter is stronger than the former.

Theorem A. 3 (Markov's Inequality). Let $X$ be a positive random variable (in fact, $P[X \geq 0]=1$ and $P[X=0]<1$ suffice). Then for any $K>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P[X \geq K \mathbb{E}[X]] \leq \frac{1}{K} \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem A. 4 (Chernoff's Bound). Let $X=\sum_{i \in[k]} X_{i}$ be the sum of $k$ independent Bernoulli variables (i.e., 0-1-variables). Then we have, for any $0<\delta \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P[X \geq(1+\delta) \mathbb{E}[X]] \leq e^{-\delta^{2} \mathbb{E}[X] / 3}, \text { and }  \tag{A.14}\\
& P[X \leq(1-\delta) \mathbb{E}[X]] \leq e^{-\delta^{2} \mathbb{E}[X] / 2} \tag{A.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The concept of something happening with high probability (w.h.p.), i.e., with probability at least $1-n^{-c}$, is the following. First, the larger your input $n$, the larger the probability that the event occurs. Second, $c$ can be picked at will, meaning that the probabilities can be picked as close to 1 as desired. This is useful for randomized algorithms. Suppose you have a randomized algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ that succeeds with probability $p$. If you want to use $\mathcal{A}$ several times (e.g. to construct a new algorithm) the probability that all of these calls succeed decreases. But if each call of $\mathcal{A}$ succeeds w.h.p. and there only are polynomially many of them, you can use the union bound and pick a large enough $c$ to show that all calls of $\mathcal{A}$ succeed w.h.p. as well.

Definition A. 5 (With high Probability). The event $\mathcal{E}$ occurs with high probability (w.h.p.) if $P[\mathcal{E}] \geq 1-1 / n^{c}$ for any fixed choice of $1 \leq c \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $c$ typically is considered a constant in terms of the $\mathcal{O}$-notation.

## A. 5 Asymptotic Notation

We require asymptotic notation to reason about the complexity of algorithms. This section is adapted from Chapter 3 of Cormen et al. [CLR90]. Let $f, g: \mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ be functions.

## A.5.1 Definitions

$\mathcal{O}(g(n))$ is the set containing all functions $f$ that are bounded from above by $c g(n)$ for some constant $c>0$ and for all sufficiently large $n$, i.e. $f(n)$ is asymptotically bounded from above by $g(n)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}(g(n)):=\left\{f(n) \mid \exists c \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}: \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}: \quad 0 \leq f(n) \leq c g(n)\right\} \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The counterpart of $\mathcal{O}(g(n))$ is $\Omega(g(n))$, the set of functions asymptotically bounded from below by $g(n)$, again up to a positive scalar and for sufficiently large $n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(g(n)):=\left\{f(n) \mid \exists c \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}: \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}: \quad 0 \leq c g(n) \leq f(n)\right\} \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f(n)$ is bounded from below by $c_{1} g(n)$ and from above by $c_{2} g(n)$ for positive scalars $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ and sufficiently large $n$, it belongs to the set $\Theta(g(n))$; in this case $g(n)$ is an asymptotically tight bound for $f(n)$. It is easy to check that $\Theta(g(n))$ is the intersection of $\mathcal{O}(g(n))$ and $\Omega(g(n))$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Theta(g(n)):=\left\{f(n) \mid \exists c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}: \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}:\right.  \tag{A.18}\\
\left.0 \leq c_{1} g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_{2} g(n)\right\} \\
f(n) \in \Theta(g(n)) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f \in(\mathcal{O}(g(n)) \cap \Omega(g(n))) \tag{A.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

For example, $n \in \mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ but $n \notin \Omega\left(n^{2}\right)$ and thus $n \notin \Theta\left(n^{2}\right) .{ }^{2}$ But $3 n^{2}-n+5 \in$ $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right), 3 n^{2}-n+5 \in \Omega\left(n^{2}\right)$, and thus $3 n^{2}-n+5 \in \Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ for $c_{1}=1, c_{2}=3$, and $n_{0}=4$.

In order to express that an asymptotic bound is not tight, we require $o(g(n))$ and $\omega(g(n)) . f(n) \in o(g(n))$ means that for any positive constant $c, f(n)$ is strictly smaller than $c g(n)$ for sufficiently large $n$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
o(g(n)):=\left\{f(n) \mid \forall c \in \mathbb{R}^{+}: \exists n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}: \forall n \geq n_{0}: \quad 0 \leq f(n)<c g(n)\right\} \tag{A.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an example, consider $\frac{1}{n}$. For arbitrary $c \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \frac{1}{n}<c$ we have that for all $n \geq \frac{1}{c}+1$, so $\frac{1}{n} \in o(1)$. A similar concept exists for lower bounds that are not asymptotically tight; $f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$ if for any positive scalar $c, c g(n)<f(n)$ as soon as $n$ is large enough.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega(g(n)):=\left\{f(n) \mid \forall c \in \mathbb{R}^{+}: \exists n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}: \forall n \geq n_{0}: \quad 0 \leq c g(n)<f(n)\right\}  \tag{A.21}\\
f(n) \in \omega(g(n)) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad g(n) \in o(f(n)) \tag{A.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

## A.5.2 Properties

We list some useful properties of asymptotic notation, all taken from Chapter 3 of Cormen et al. [CLR90]. The statements in this subsection hold for all $f, g, h: \mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

## Transitivity

$$
\begin{align*}
f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(g(n)) \wedge g(n) \in \mathcal{O}(h(n)) & \Rightarrow f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(h(n)),  \tag{A.23}\\
f(n) \in \Omega(g(n)) \wedge g(n) \in \Omega(h(n)) & \Rightarrow f(n) \in \Omega(h(n)),  \tag{A.24}\\
f(n) \in \Theta(g(n)) \wedge g(n) \in \Theta(h(n)) & \Rightarrow f(n) \in \Theta(h(n)),  \tag{A.25}\\
f(n) \in o(g(n)) \wedge g(n) \in o(h(n)) & \Rightarrow f(n) \in o(h(n)), \text { and }  \tag{A.26}\\
f(n) \in \omega(g(n)) \wedge g(n) \in \omega(h(n)) & \Rightarrow f(n) \in \omega(h(n)) . \tag{A.27}
\end{align*}
$$

## Reflexivity

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(f(n)),  \tag{A.28}\\
& f(n) \in \Omega(f(n)), \text { and }  \tag{A.29}\\
& f(n) \in \Theta(f(n)) \tag{A.30}
\end{align*}
$$

## Symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(n) \in \Theta(g(n)) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad g(n) \in \Theta(f(n)) \tag{A.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Transpose Symmetry

$$
\begin{align*}
f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(g(n)) & \Leftrightarrow g(n) \in \Omega(f(n)), \text { and }  \tag{A.32}\\
f(n) \in o(g(n)) & \Leftrightarrow g(n) \in \omega(f(n)) . \tag{A.33}
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]
## A. 6 Approximation

We require a precise definition of approximation algorithms in order to specify the (im)possibility of such solutions. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an algorithm, $G$ the input, $f$ the function to be approximated, $\mathcal{A}(G)$ the cost or value of the valid approximation, and $\alpha$ the approximation degree.

If for all inputs $G$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(G) \leq \mathcal{A}(G) \leq \alpha \cdot f(G) \tag{A.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we call $\mathcal{A}$ to be an $\alpha$-approximation algorithm.
We will apply this in the context of distances, diameters, arboricities, etc.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Still, we occasionally write edges as tuples: $e=(v, w)$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ We write $f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(g(n))$ unlike some authors who, by abuse of notation, write $f(n)=$ $\mathcal{O}(g(n)) . f(n) \in \mathcal{O}(g(n))$ emphasizes that we are dealing with sets of functions.

