Exercise 11: Counting

Task 1: One

The goal of this exercise is to understand the consistency properties of the bounded max register implementation from the lecture.

a) Show that if one always writes to \( R_i < \) if \( i < V \), regardless of whether switch reads 0, the implementation is not linearizable!

**Hint:** Start a read operation that reads 0 from switch, complete a write operation for \( i \geq V \), then another one for \( 0 < i < V \). Show that the order implied by the “precedes” relation now is incompatible with any sequential execution of the max register!

b) Show that if a write operation (for \( i < V \)) reads switch = 1, there is a preceding write operation for \( i \geq V \). Conclude that it is always possible to determine a valid linearization point for such an operation.

c) Prove that the max register over 2\( V \) values constructed from two max registers over \( V \) values and a read/write register is linearizable.

**Hint:** Divide operations into three classes:
(i) writes of \( i < V \) and reads reading switch = 0;
(ii) write operations for \( i < V \) reading switch = 1; and
(iii) writes for \( i \geq V \), and reads reading switch = 1.
Order operations from classes (i) and (iii) first and then apply b) to handle those in class (ii).

Task 2: Two

In this exercise, we’re going to implement more powerful registers from weak ones. We start with very simple registers. They are

**binary** They can hold only values 0 and 1.

**single-writer** Only one process has write access.

**single-reader** Only one process has read access. This may be a different process than the one that has write access.

**safe** They guarantee that (i) 0 or 1 is returned, but (ii) they might return an arbitrary value while a write operation is in progress.

In addition to these shared registers, you can also use arbitrarily many local registers, which must always be used by the same processes. All registers are initialized to 0 in this exercise.

This exercise is long and challenging. To alleviate this, out of the five subtasks with question marks (e.g. “a?”), you only need to solve any three, and treat the other two as bonus.

**Hint:** Also, make sure to score easy points with g).

---

1Note that because there is only a single writer, we can require that there is never more than one write in progress.
a?) Implement a regular binary single-writer single-reader register from a safe binary single-writer single-reader register. A regular register is a safe register that guarantees that only values of a concurrent or the latest preceding write are returned (or the initial value, if there is no preceding write).

b?) Implement a regular \( V \)-valued\(^2\) single-writer single-reader register from \( V \) regular binary single-writer single-reader registers.

**Hint:** For \( i > 0 \), use the \( i \)-th register to represent value \( i \). Read in ascending, but write in descending order.

c?) Implement a linearizable \( V \)-valued single-writer single-reader register that can be written \( W - 1 \) times from a regular \( VW \)-valued single-writer single-reader register.

**Hint:** Use timestamps, and have the reader always return the latest value.

d) An \( n \)-reader register is one that can be read by \( n \) different nodes. Show that naively using \( n \) atomic single-writer single-reader registers to construct a single-writer \( n \)-reader register does not result in a linearizable implementation.

e?) Construct a linearizable \( V \)-valued single-writer \( n \)-reader register that can be written \( W - 1 \) times out of \( n^2 + n \) atomic \( VW \)-valued single-writer single-reader registers.

**Hint:** Use timestamps and leverage the additional \( n^2 \) registers to communicate between the readers. The readers will read from “their” incoming registers, then from the writer’s register, then write the timestamp/value pair of the maximum seen timestamp to their outgoing registers, and only then return the respective value.

f?) Construct a linearizable \( V \)-valued \( n \)-writer \( n \)-reader register that can be written \( W - 1 \) times out of \( n \) atomic \( VW \)-valued single-writer \( 2n \)-reader registers.

**Hint:** Let writers read all registers first and write with a timestamp larger than all timestamps they read.

g) Conclude that for any bounded number of operations, safe binary single-writer single-reader registers are as computationally powerful as atomic multi-valued multi-writer multi-reader registers.

**Hint:** Concentrate on not thinking about efficiency. Seriously, do not think about efficiency. DO NOT THINK ABOUT EFFICIENCY!

h*) Your solutions should all be wait-free, i.e., always finish after a finite amount of steps. If we loosen this restriction, what other approaches can you think of?

**Task 3*: Three**

Consider a fully connected asynchronous message passing system.

a) Implement a wait-free linearizable single-writer single-reader register!

b) It turns out that this didn’t work. Why?

c) Check out what sort of simulations are around in the literature.

d) Write what you’ve learned to the green shared memory in the exercise session for everyone else to read!

\(^2\)i.e., a register over \( V \) values