

Dániel Marx and Roohani Sharma

Total Points: 50

- **10** points –

—— **10** points —

– **5** + **5** points —

Parameterized Algorithms, Exercise Sheet 2 -

cms.cispa.saarland/paramalg

Due: Tuesday, November 16, 2021

You are allowed to collaborate on the exercise sheets, but you have to write down a solution on your own, **using your own words**. Please indicate the names of your collaborators for each exercise you solve. Further, cite all external sources that you use (books, websites, research papers, etc.). You need to collect at least 50% of all points on exercise sheets to be admitted to the exam. Please send your solutions directly to Philipp (philipp.schepper@cispa.de).

— Exercise 1 -

Recall the TRIANGLE FREE DELETION problem from Lecture 1. Here, given an *n*-vertex undirected graph G and a positive integer k, the goal is to determine if there exists at most k vertices whose deletion results in a graph with no triangles (cycles of length three). We saw that this problem can be solved using a simple branching algorithm in time $3^k \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$. Design an algorithm for TRIANGLE FREE DELETION that runs in time $(3-\epsilon)^k \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$, for some $\epsilon > 0$, using Iterative Compression. *Hint:* Recall from Lecture 1, that VERTEX COVER can be solved in $1.4656^k \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ time.

— Exercise 2 –

The HAMILTONIAN PATH problem is defined as follows: given an *n*-vertex undirected graph G, does there exist a path on *n* vertices in G? A simple brute force algorithm that enumerates all possible *n*-length paths in G, gives a $n^{\mathcal{O}(n)}$ time algorithm for HAMILTONIAN PATH. Design a $2^n \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ time algorithm for HAMILTONIAN PATH using dynamic programming over subsets.

— Exercise 3 -

Suppose that we know that parameterized problem B can be solved in time $3^k \cdot n^{O(1)}$. Furthermore, there is a parameterized reduction from problem A to problem B that runs in polynomial time. What running time bound we can give for problem A if we know that the reduction creates new instances with parameter

(a) at most 4k,

(b) at most $2k^2$?

– Exercise 4 –

— **10** points ——

Either show that the following problem is FPT or show that it is W[1]-hard.

— Exercise 5 –

- 10 points —

In the SET PACKING problem the input consists of a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of a finite universe U and an integer k, and the question is whether one can find k pairwise disjoint sets in F. Prove that SET PACKING is W[1]-hard when parameterized by k.