



#### **High Level Computer Vision**

#### **CNN Architectures**

Bernt Schiele - schiele@mpi-inf.mpg.de Mario Fritz - <u>fritz@cispa.saarland</u>

https://www.mpi-inf.mpg.de/hlcv

#### Exam dates

- Exam dates 3 batches
  - ▶ 18/19 July
  - 20/21 August
  - 1/2 October
- Please fill in doodle poll
  - https://doodle.com/poll/6q3uq2pqwhpwbixe
- We will assign exact dates

# Some more illustrations on detection ...

# Sliding Window with ConvNet



# Sliding Window with ConvNet



С

classes



Input Window

# Sliding Window with ConvNet





Input Window

No need to compute two separate windows Just one big input window, computed in a single pass







Class Maps



Boat

Boat

Boat

Boat











# Bounding Box prediction example

#### [Sermanet et al. CVPR'14]



## Today: CNN Architectures

#### **Case Studies**

- AlexNet
- VGG
- GoogLeNet
- ResNet

#### Also....

- NiN (Network in Network)
- Wide ResNet
- ResNeXT
- Stochastic Depth
- Squeeze-and-Excitation Network

- DenseNet
- FractalNet
- SqueezeNet
- NASNet

## Review: LeNet-5

[LeCun et al., 1998]



Conv filters were 5x5, applied at stride 1 Subsampling (Pooling) layers were 2x2 applied at stride 2 i.e. architecture is [CONV-POOL-CONV-POOL-FC-FC]

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Architecture: CONV1 MAX POOL1 NORM1 CONV2 MAX POOL2 NORM2 CONV3 CONV3 CONV4 CONV5 Max POOL3 FC6 FC7 FC8



Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

First layer (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4 => Q: what is the output volume size? Hint: (227-11)/4+1 = 55

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

**First layer** (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4 => Output volume **[55x55x96]** 

Q: What is the total number of parameters in this layer?

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

**First layer** (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4 => Output volume **[55x55x96]** 

Parameters: (11\*11\*3)\*96 = **35K** 

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images After CONV1: 55x55x96

#### **Second layer** (POOL1): 3x3 filters applied at stride 2

Q: what is the output volume size? Hint: (55-3)/2+1 = 27

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images After CONV1: 55x55x96

**Second layer** (POOL1): 3x3 filters applied at stride 2 Output volume: 27x27x96

Q: what is the number of parameters in this layer?

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images After CONV1: 55x55x96

**Second layer** (POOL1): 3x3 filters applied at stride 2 Output volume: 27x27x96 Parameters: 0!

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

. . .



Input: 227x227x3 images After CONV1: 55x55x96 After POOL1: 27x27x96

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture: [227x227x3] INPUT [55x55x96] CONV1: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0 [27x27x96] MAX POOL1: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [27x27x96] NORM1: Normalization layer [27x27x256] CONV2: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2 [13x13x256] MAX POOL2: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [13x13x256] NORM2: Normalization layer [13x13x384] CONV3: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x384] CONV4: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x256] CONV5: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [6x6x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [4096] FC6: 4096 neurons [4096] FC7: 4096 neurons [1000] FC8: 1000 neurons (class scores)



Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture: [227x227x3] INPUT [55x55x96] CONV1: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0 [27x27x96] MAX POOL1: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [27x27x96] NORM1: Normalization layer [27x27x26] CONV2: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2 [13x13x256] MAX POOL2: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [13x13x256] NORM2: Normalization layer [13x13x384] CONV3: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x384] CONV4: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [4096] FC6: 4096 neurons [4096] FC7: 4096 neurons [1000] FC8: 1000 neurons (class scores)

#### dense 192 192 128 48 128 dense dense 1000 128 Max 192 192 2048 2048 pooling Max Max 128 pooling pooling

#### **Details/Retrospectives:**

- first use of ReLU
- used Norm layers (not common anymore)
- heavy data augmentation
- dropout 0.5
- batch size 128
- SGD Momentum 0.9
- Learning rate 1e-2, reduced by 10
- manually when val accuracy plateaus
- L2 weight decay 5e-4
- 7 CNN ensemble: 18.2% -> 15.4%

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.



[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture: [227x227x3] INPUT [55x55x96] CONV1: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0 [27x27x96] MAX POOL1: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [27x27x96] NORM1: Normalization layer [27x27x26] CONV2: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2 [13x13x256] MAX POOL2: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [13x13x256] NORM2: Normalization layer [13x13x384] CONV3: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x384] CONV4: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x256] CONV5: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x256] CONV5: 256 3x3 filters at stride 2 [4096] FC6: 4096 neurons [4096] FC6: 4096 neurons [4096] FC7: 4096 neurons [1000] FC8: 1000 neurons (class scores)

192 197 128 48 128 dense dense 1000 192 192 128 Max 2048 2048 pooling Max 128 pooling pooling CONV1, CONV2, CONV4, CONV5: Connections only with feature maps on same GPU

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture: [227x227x3] INPUT [55x55x96] CONV1: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0 [27x27x96] MAX POOL1: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [27x27x96] NORM1: Normalization layer [27x27x26] CONV2: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2 [13x13x256] MAX POOL2: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [13x13x256] NORM2: Normalization layer [13x13x384] CONV3: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x384] CONV4: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [13x13x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1 [6x6x256] MAX POOL3: 3x3 filters at stride 2 [4096] FC6: 4096 neurons [4096] FC7: 4096 neurons [1000] FC8: 1000 neurons (class scores)

the second secon

CONV3, FC6, FC7, FC8: Connections with all feature maps in preceding layer, communication across GPUs

Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.









[Zeiler and Fergus, 2013]



AlexNet but: CONV1: change from (11x11 stride 4) to (7x7 stride 2) CONV3,4,5: instead of 384, 384, 256 filters use 512, 1024, 512 ImageNet top 5 error: 16.4% -> 11.7%



[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Small filters, Deeper networks

8 layers (AlexNet) -> 16 - 19 layers (VGG16Net)

Only 3x3 CONV stride 1, pad 1 and 2x2 MAX POOL stride 2

11.7% top 5 error in ILSVRC'13 (ZFNet)

-> 7.3% top 5 error in ILSVRC'14





[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: Why use smaller filters? (3x3 conv)





[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: Why use smaller filters? (3x3 conv)

Stack of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers has same **effective receptive field** as one 7x7 conv layer

Q: What is the effective receptive field of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers?



	Softmax
	FC 1000
]	FC 4096
]	FC 4096
j	Pool
i	3x3 conv. 512
i	3x3 conv. 512
i -	3x3 conv. 512
i .	3x3 conv. 512
	P001
	3x3 conv, 512
	Pool
	3x3 conv, 256
	3x3 conv, 256
]	Pool
]	3x3 conv, 128
	3x3 conv, 128
1	Pool
1	3x3 conv, 64
i	3x3 conv. 64
1	

VGG19

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: Why use smaller filters? (3x3 conv)

Stack of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers has same **effective receptive field** as one 7x7 conv layer

[7x7]



Softmax FC 1000

FC 4096 FC 4096

Pool

Pool

Pool

1x11 conv.

Input

AlexNet

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Q: Why use smaller filters? (3x3 conv)

Stack of three 3x3 conv (stride 1) layers has same effective receptive field as one 7x7 conv layer

But deeper, more non-linearities

And fewer parameters:  $3 * (3^2C^2)$  vs. 7<sup>2</sup>C<sup>2</sup> for C channels per layer



Softmax

FC 1000 FC 4096

FC 4096

Pool

Pool

Pool

Input
(not counting biases) INPUT: [224x224x3] memory: 224\*224\*3=150K params: 0 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224\*224\*64=3.2M params: (3\*3\*3)\*64 = 1,728 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224\*224\*64=3.2M params: (3\*3\*64)\*64 = 36,864 POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: 112\*112\*64=800K params: 0 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112\*112\*128=1.6M params: (3\*3\*64)\*128 = 73,728 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112\*112\*128=1.6M params: (3\*3\*128)\*128 = 147,456 POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: 56\*56\*128=400K params: 0 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56\*56\*256=800K params: (3\*3\*128)\*256 = 294,912 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56\*56\*256=800K params: (3\*3\*256)\*256 = 589,824 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56\*56\*256=800K params: (3\*3\*256)\*256 = 589,824 POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: 28\*28\*256=200K params: 0 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28\*28\*512=400K params: (3\*3\*256)\*512 = 1,179,648 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28\*28\*512=400K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28\*28\*512=400K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: 14\*14\*512=100K params: 0 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14\*14\*512=100K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14\*14\*512=100K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14\*14\*512=100K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: 7\*7\*512=25K params: 0 FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 7\*7\*512\*4096 = 102,760,448 FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 4096\*4096 = 16,777,216 FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: 4096\*1000 = 4,096,000



VGG16

(not counting biases) INPUT: [224x224x3] memory: 224\*224\*3=150K params: 0 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224\*224\*64=3.2M params: (3\*3\*3)\*64 = 1,728 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224\*224\*64=3.2M params: (3\*3\*64)\*64 = 36,864 POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: 112\*112\*64=800K params: 0 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112\*112\*128=1.6M params: (3\*3\*64)\*128 = 73,728 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112\*112\*128=1.6M params: (3\*3\*128)\*128 = 147,456 POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: 56\*56\*128=400K params: 0 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56\*56\*256=800K params: (3\*3\*128)\*256 = 294,912 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56\*56\*256=800K params: (3\*3\*256)\*256 = 589,824 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56\*56\*256=800K params: (3\*3\*256)\*256 = 589,824 POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: 28\*28\*256=200K params: 0 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28\*28\*512=400K params: (3\*3\*256)\*512 = 1,179,648 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28\*28\*512=400K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28\*28\*512=400K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: 14\*14\*512=100K params: 0 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14\*14\*512=100K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14\*14\*512=100K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14\*14\*512=100K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: 7\*7\*512=25K params: 0 FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 7\*7\*512\*4096 = 102,760,448 FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 4096\*4096 = 16,777,216 FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: 4096\*1000 = 4,096,000

TOTAL memory: 24M \* 4 bytes ~= 96MB / image (for a forward pass) TOTAL params: 138M parameters



VGG16

INPUT: [224x224x3] memory: 224*224*3=150K params: 0 (not counting biases) CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224*224*64=3.2M params: (3*3*3)*64 = 1,728 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224*224*64=3.2M params: (3*3*64)*64 = 36,864	Note:
POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: 112*112*64=800K params: 0	Most memory is in
$CONV3-128: [112x112x128] \text{ memory: } 112^{\circ}112^{\circ}128=1.6M \text{ parameters: } (3^{\circ}3^{\circ}64)^{\circ}128=73,728$	early CONV
CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112"112"128=1.6W params: (3"3"128)"128 = 147,456	
POOL2. [50x50x128] Memory. 50 50 128=400K params. 0	
CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56^{5}56^{2}256=800$ K params: $(3^{3}3^{1}28)^{2}256=294,912$	
CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800$ K params: $(3*3*256)*256 = 589,824$	
CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56*56*256=800K params: (3*3*256)*256 = 589,824	
POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: 28*28*256=200K params: 0	
CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*256)*512 = 1,179,648	
CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296	
CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28*28*512=400K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296	
POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: 0	Most params are
CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296	in late FC
CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296	
CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14*14*512=100K params: (3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296	
POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: 7*7*512=25K params: 0	
FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 7*7*512*4096 = 102.760.448	
FC $[1x1x4096]$ memory: 4096 params: 4096*4096 = 16 777 216	
FC: $[1x1x1000]$ memory: 1000 params: 4096*1000 = 4.096.000	
TOTAL memory: 24M * 4 bytes ~= 96MB / image (only forward! ~*2 for bwd) TOTAL params: 138M parameters	

(not counting biases) memory: 224\*224\*3=150K params: 0 INPUT: [224x224x3] CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224\*224\*64=3.2M params: (3\*3\*3)\*64 = 1,728 CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: 224\*224\*64=3.2M params: (3\*3\*64)\*64 = 36,864 POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: 112\*112\*64=800K params: 0 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112\*112\*128=1.6M params: (3\*3\*64)\*128 = 73,728 CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: 112\*112\*128=1.6M params: (3\*3\*128)\*128 = 147,456 POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: 56\*56\*128=400K params: 0 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56\*56\*256=800K params: (3\*3\*128)\*256 = 294,912 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56\*56\*256=800K params: (3\*3\*256)\*256 = 589,824 CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: 56\*56\*256=800K params: (3\*3\*256)\*256 = 589,824 POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: 28\*28\*256=200K params: 0 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28\*28\*512=400K params: (3\*3\*256)\*512 = 1,179,648 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28\*28\*512=400K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: 28\*28\*512=400K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: 14\*14\*512=100K params: 0 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14\*14\*512=100K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14\*14\*512=100K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: 14\*14\*512=100K params: (3\*3\*512)\*512 = 2,359,296 POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: 7\*7\*512=25K params: 0 FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 7\*7\*512\*4096 = 102,760,448 FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: 4096\*4096 = 16,777,216 FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: 4096\*1000 = 4,096,000

TOTAL memory: 24M \* 4 bytes ~= 96MB / image (only forward! ~\*2 for bwd) TOTAL params: 138M parameters



## Case Study: VGGNet

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

#### **Details:**

- ILSVRC'14 2nd in classification, 1st in localization
- Similar training procedure as Krizhevsky 2012
- No Local Response Normalisation (LRN)
- Use VGG16 or VGG19 (VGG19 only slightly better, more memory)
- Use ensembles for best results
- FC7 features generalize well to other tasks



fc7

fc6

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners



[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Deeper networks, with computational efficiency

- 22 layers
- Efficient "Inception" module
- No FC layers
- Only 5 million parameters!
   12x less than AlexNet
- ILSVRC'14 classification winner (6.7% top 5 error)





"Inception module": design a good local network topology (network within a network) and then stack these modules on top of each other



[Szegedy et al., 2014]



Naive Inception module

Apply parallel filter operations on the input from previous layer:

- Multiple receptive field sizes for convolution (1x1, 3x3, 5x5)
- Pooling operation (3x3)

Concatenate all filter outputs together depth-wise

[Szegedy et al., 2014]



Naive Inception module

Apply parallel filter operations on the input from previous layer:

- Multiple receptive field sizes for convolution (1x1, 3x3, 5x5)
- Pooling operation (3x3)

Concatenate all filter outputs together depth-wise

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

#### Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

#### Example:



Naive Inception module

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q1: What is the output size of the 1x1 conv, with 128 filters?



Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q1: What is the output size of the 1x1 conv, with 128 filters?



Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q2: What are the output sizes of all different filter operations?



Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q2: What are the output sizes of all different filter operations?



Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q3:What is output size after filter concatenation?



Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example: Q3:What is output size after filter concatenation?

28x28x(128+192+96+256) = 28x28x672



Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q3:What is output size after filter concatenation?

28x28x(128+192+96+256) = 28x28x672



Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

Conv Ops:

[1x1 conv, 128] 28x28x128x1x1x256 [3x3 conv, 192] 28x28x192x3x3x256 [5x5 conv, 96] 28x28x96x5x5x256 **Total: 854M ops** 

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q3:What is output size after filter concatenation?





Naive Inception module

Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

Conv Ops:

[1x1 conv, 128] 28x28x128x1x1x256 [3x3 conv, 192] 28x28x192x3x3x256 [5x5 conv, 96] 28x28x96x5x5x256 **Total: 854M ops** 

Very expensive compute

Pooling layer also preserves feature depth, which means total depth after concatenation can only grow at every layer!

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Example:

Q3:What is output size after filter concatenation?



Q: What is the problem with this? [Hint: Computational complexity]

Solution: "bottleneck" layers that use 1x1 convolutions to reduce feature depth

Naive Inception module

#### Reminder: 1x1 convolutions



#### Reminder: 1x1 convolutions



[Szegedy et al., 2014]



Inception module with dimension reduction

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

#### 1x1 conv "bottleneck" layers



Inception module with dimension reduction

slide credit: Fei-Fei, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung



High Level Computer Vision - May 22, 2019

[Szegedy et al., 2014]



Inception module with dimension reduction

Using same parallel layers as naive example, and adding "1x1 conv, 64 filter" bottlenecks:

#### **Conv Ops:**

[1x1 conv, 64] 28x28x64x1x1x256 [1x1 conv, 64] 28x28x64x1x1x256 [1x1 conv, 128] 28x28x128x1x1x256 [3x3 conv, 192] 28x28x192x3x3x64 [5x5 conv, 96] 28x28x96x5x5x64 [1x1 conv, 64] 28x28x64x1x1x256 **Total: 358M ops** 

Compared to 854M ops for naive version Bottleneck can also reduce depth after pooling layer



[Szegedy et al., 2014]





[Szegedy et al., 2014]



[Szegedy et al., 2014]



[Szegedy et al., 2014]



[Szegedy et al., 2014]



22 total layers with weights

(parallel layers count as 1 layer => 2 layers per Inception module. Don't count auxiliary output layers)

[Szegedy et al., 2014]

Deeper networks, with computational efficiency

- 22 layers
- Efficient "Inception" module
- No FC layers
- 12x less params than AlexNet
- ILSVRC'14 classification winner (6.7% top 5 error)

 Filter

 1x1
 3x3

 convolution
 5x5

 convolution

 1x1

 convolution

 1x1

 1x1

 convolution

 1x1

 convolution

 pooling

Previous Layer

Inception module





#### ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners "Revolution of Depth"

## Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

Very deep networks using residual connections

- 152-layer model for ImageNet
- ILSVRC'15 classification winner (3.57% top 5 error)
- Swept all classification and detection competitions in ILSVRC'15 and COCO'15!





#### Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]

What happens when we continue stacking deeper layers on a "plain" convolutional neural network?


[He et al., 2015]

What happens when we continue stacking deeper layers on a "plain" convolutional neural network?



Q: What's strange about these training and test curves? [Hint: look at the order of the curves]

[He et al., 2015]

What happens when we continue stacking deeper layers on a "plain" convolutional neural network?



56-layer model performs worse on both training and test error -> The deeper model performs worse, but it's not caused by overfitting!

[He et al., 2015]

Hypothesis: the problem is an *optimization* problem, deeper models are harder to optimize

[He et al., 2015]

Hypothesis: the problem is an *optimization* problem, deeper models are harder to optimize

The deeper model should be able to perform at least as well as the shallower model.

A solution by construction is copying the learned layers from the shallower model and setting additional layers to identity mapping.

[He et al., 2015]

Solution: Use network layers to fit a residual mapping instead of directly trying to fit a desired underlying mapping



[He et al., 2015]

Solution: Use network layers to fit a residual mapping instead of directly trying to fit a desired underlying mapping





#### Softmax Case Study: ResNet FC 1000 [He et al., 2015] 3x3 conv, 512 3x3 conv, 512 3x3 conv, 512 Full ResNet architecture: 3x3 conv. 512 relu 3x3 conv, 512 Stack residual blocks 3x3 conv. 512. /2 F(x) + x (+ Every residual block has two 3x3 conv layers 3x3 conv Periodically, double # of -3x3 conv, 128 Х filters and downsample F(x)filters, /2 relu identity spatially with spatially using stride 2 stride 2 3x3 conv 3x3 conv (/2 in each dimension) 3x3 conv, 64 3x3 conv, 64 3x3 conv. 64 filters 3x3 conv, 64 Х 3x3 conv. 64 **Residual block** 3x3 conv. 64 3x3 conv. 64

#### Softmax Case Study: ResNet FC 1000 [He et al., 2015] 3x3 conv, 512 3x3 conv. 512 3x3 conv, 512 Full ResNet architecture: 3x3 conv. 512 relu 3x3 conv, 512 Stack residual blocks 3x3 conv. 512. / F(x) + x (+ Every residual block has two 3x3 conv layers 3x3 conv Periodically, double # of -Х filters and downsample F(x)relu identity spatially using stride 2

3x3 conv

Х

**Residual block** 

(/2 in each dimension)Additional conv layer at the beginning

slide credit: Fei-Fei, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung

3x3 conv, 128

3x3 conv. 64

3x3 conv. 64

3x3 conv, 64

3x3 conv. 64

3x3 conv, 64 3x3 conv, 64

Input

Beginning conv layer

#### Softmax Case Study: ResNet **No FC layers** FC 1000 besides FC 1000 to [He et al., 2015] 3x3 conv, 512 output 3x3 conv. 512 classes 3x3 conv, 512 Full ResNet architecture: 3x3 conv. 512 Global relu average 3x3 conv, 512 Stack residual blocks 3x3 conv. 512. pooling layer F(x) + xEvery residual block has after last conv layer two 3x3 conv layers 3x3 conv Periodically, double # of Х filters and downsample F(x) relu identity spatially using stride 2 3x3 conv 3x3 conv, 128 (/2 in each dimension) 3x3 conv. 64 Additional conv layer at 3x3 conv. 64 the beginning 3x3 conv, 64 3x3 conv. 64 No FC layers at the end **Residual block** 3x3 conv. 64 (only FC 1000 to output 3x3 conv. 64 classes)



[He et al., 2015]

For deeper networks (ResNet-50+), use "bottleneck" layer to improve efficiency (similar to GoogLeNet)



[He et al., 2015]

For deeper networks (ResNet-50+), use "bottleneck" layer to improve efficiency (similar to GoogLeNet)



[He et al., 2015]

Training ResNet in practice:

- Batch Normalization after every CONV layer
- Xavier 2/ initialization from He et al.
- SGD + Momentum (0.9)
- Learning rate: 0.1, divided by 10 when validation error plateaus
- Mini-batch size 256
- Weight decay of 1e-5
- No dropout used

[He et al., 2015]

**Experimental Results** 

- Able to train very deep networks without degrading (152 layers on ImageNet, 1202 on Cifar)
- Deeper networks now achieve lowing training error as expected
- Swept 1st place in all ILSVRC and COCO 2015 competitions

#### MSRA @ ILSVRC & COCO 2015 Competitions

#### 1st places in all five main tracks

- ImageNet Classification: "Ultra-deep" (quote Yann) 152-layer nets
- ImageNet Detection: 16% better than 2nd
- ImageNet Localization: 27% better than 2nd
- COCO Detection: 11% better than 2nd
- COCO Segmentation: 12% better than 2nd

[He et al., 2015]

**Experimental Results** 

- Able to train very deep networks without degrading (152 layers on ImageNet, 1202 on Cifar)
- Deeper networks now achieve lowing training error as expected
- Swept 1st place in all ILSVRC and COCO 2015 competitions

#### MSRA @ ILSVRC & COCO 2015 Competitions

#### 1st places in all five main tracks

- ImageNet Classification: "Ultra-deep" (quote Yann) 152-layer nets
- ImageNet Detection: 16% better than 2nd
- ImageNet Localization: 27% better than 2nd
- COCO Detection: 11% better than 2nd
- COCO Segmentation: 12% better than 2nd

ILSVRC 2015 classification winner (3.6% top 5 error) -- better than "human performance"! (Russakovsky 2014)

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners







An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.



An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.



Comparing complexity...

An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

slide credit: Fei-Fei, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung

VGG: Highest



GoogLeNet:

most efficient

#### Comparing complexity...

An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.



AlexNet:

Smaller compute, still memory

#### Comparing complexity...

An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.



**ResNet**:

Moderate efficiency depending on

Comparing complexity...

An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

#### Forward pass time and power consumption



An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications, 2017.

Figures copyright Alfredo Canziani, Adam Paszke, Eugenio Culurciello, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

### Other architectures to know...



# Network in Network (NiN)

[Lin et al. 2014]

- Mlpconv layer with
   "micronetwork" within each conv
   layer to compute more abstract
   features for local patches
- Micronetwork uses multilayer perceptron (FC, i.e. 1x1 conv layers)
- Precursor to GoogLeNet and ResNet "bottleneck" layers
- Philosophical inspiration for GoogLeNet





(a) Linear convolution layer

(b) Mlpconv layer



Figures convright Lin et al. 2014 Reproduced with permission

### Improving ResNets...

# Identity Mappings in Deep Residual Networks

[He et al. 2016]

- Improved ResNet block design from creators of ResNet
- Creates a more direct path for propagating information throughout network (moves activation to residual mapping pathway)
- Gives better performance



### Improving ResNets...

### Wide Residual Networks

[Zagoruyko et al. 2016]

- Argues that residuals are the important factor, not depth
- User wider residual blocks (F x k filters instead of F filters in each layer)
- 50-layer wide ResNet outperforms
   152-layer original ResNet
- Increasing width instead of depth more computationally efficient (parallelizable)







### Improving ResNets... Aggregated Residual Transformations for Deep Neural Networks (ResNeXt)

[Xie et al. 2016]

- Also from creators of ResNet
- Increases width of residual block through multiple parallel pathways ("cardinality")
- Parallel pathways similar in spirit to Inception module



## Improving ResNets... Deep Networks with Stochastic Depth

[Huang et al. 2016]

- Motivation: reduce vanishing gradients and training time through short networks during training
- Randomly drop a subset of layers during each training pass
- Bypass with identity function
- Use full deep network at test time





ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners

### Improving ResNets...

# "Good Practices for Deep Feature Fusion"

[Shao et al. 2016]

- Multi-scale ensembling of Inception, Inception-Resnet, Resnet, Wide Resnet models
- ILSVRC'16 classification winner

	Inception- v3	Inception- v4	Inception- Resnet-v2	Resnet- 200	Wrn-68-3	Fusion (Val.)	Fusion (Test)
Err. (%)	4.20	4.01	3.52	4.26	4.65	2.92 (-0.6)	2.99

#### 30 28.2 152 layers 152 layers 152 layers 25.8 25 20 16.4 15 11.7 19 layers 22 layers, 10 7.3 6.7 5.1 5 8 layers 8 layers 3.6 shallow 3 2.3 0 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2014 2015 2016 2017 Human Simonyan & Zeiler & Szegedy et al He et al Russakovsky et al

Zisserman (VGG) (GoogLeNet)

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) winners Adaptive feature map reweighting

slide credit: Fei-Fei, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung

Hu et al

(SENet)

Shao et al

(ResNet)

Krizhevsky et al

(AlexNet)

Fergus

Lin et al

Sanchez &

Perronnin

### Improving ResNets...

## Squeeze-and-Excitation Networks (SENet)

[Hu et al. 2017]

- Add a "feature recalibration" module that
   Iearns to adaptively reweight feature maps
   Iearns to adaptively reweight feature maps
- Global information (global avg. pooling layer) + 2 FC layers used to determine feature map weights
- ILSVRC'17 classification winner (using ResNeXt-152 as a base architecture)





### Beyond ResNets...

### FractalNet: Ultra-Deep Neural Networks without Residuals

[Larsson et al. 2017]

- Argues that key is transitioning effectively from shallow to deep and residual representations are not necessary
- Fractal architecture with both shallow and deep paths to output
- Trained with dropping out sub-paths
- Full network at test time



Figures convright Larsson et al. 2017 Reproduced with permission

### Beyond ResNets...

### **Densely Connected Convolutional Networks**

[Huang et al. 2017]

- Dense blocks where each layer is connected to every other layer in feedforward fashion
- Alleviates vanishing gradient, strengthens feature propagation, encourages feature reuse


Efficient networks...

#### SqueezeNet: AlexNet-level Accuracy With 50x Fewer Parameters and <0.5Mb Model Size

[landola et al. 2017]

- Fire modules consisting of a 'squeeze' layer with 1x1 filters feeding an 'expand' layer with 1x1 and 3x3 filters
- AlexNet level accuracy on ImageNet with 50x fewer parameters
- Can compress to 510x smaller than AlexNet (0.5Mb)



Figure copyright landola, Han, Moskewicz, Ashraf, Dally, Keutzer, 2017. Reproduced with permission.

#### Meta-learning: Learning to learn network architectures...

Neural Architecture Search with Reinforcement Learning (NAS)

[Zoph et al. 2016]

- "Controller" network that learns to design a good network architecture (output a string corresponding to network design)
- Iterate:
  - 1) Sample an architecture from search space
  - 2) Train the architecture to get a "reward" R corresponding to accuracy
  - Compute gradient of sample probability, and scale by R to perform controller parameter update (i.e. increase likelihood of good architecture being sampled, decrease likelihood of bad architecture)



# Meta-learning: Learning to learn network architectures...

## Learning Transferable Architectures for Scalable Image Recognition

[Zoph et al. 2017]

- Applying neural architecture search (NAS) to a large dataset like ImageNet is expensive
- Design a search space of building blocks ("cells") that can be flexibly stacked
- NASNet: Use NAS to find best cell structure on smaller CIFAR-10 dataset, then transfer architecture to ImageNet







# Summary: CNN Architectures

#### **Case Studies**

- AlexNet
- VGG
- GoogLeNet
- ResNet

## Also....

- NiN (Network in Network)
- Wide ResNet
- ResNeXT
- Stochastic Depth
- Squeeze-and-Excitation Network

- DenseNet
- FractalNet
- SqueezeNet
- NASNet