Exercise 15 - ROC and AUC

You are reviewer for an international conference on machine learning. Among the submissions are two independent papers, each proposing a solution to the important problem of automatic classification of hand-crafted contemporary art pottery. As you are an expert in this emerging new field, you are asked to write a review of the two papers. In both papers, the quality of the proposed classifier is evaluated by plotting the ROC curves. Author 1 reports an AUC of 0.73, Author 2 reports an AUC of 0.70.

Both authors made the results of their classifier available as supplementary material. However, the authors used different datasets to evaluate their methods. You can find the dataset $Y_1$ (the true labels) and the prediction $f_1$ of the first author as well as the dataset $Y_2$ and the prediction $f_2$ of the second author in the file `auc.mat`.

a. (4 Points) Inspect the datasets and the predictions made by the two methods. Reproduce the values of the AUC reported by the two authors by means of the function `PlotROC`. Perform 1000 runs of a random classifier on each dataset and compare the ROC curves and the AUC values obtained by the two methods with the results of the random classifiers. Report your results. Which classifier do you think works better? Justify your decision.

Exercise 16 - Statistical Tests

We are in the setting of binary classification $Y = \{-1, 1\}$. We want to develop statistical tests to evaluate if there is any dependency between the features $X$ and the labels $Y$.

a. (1 Point) Prove the following statement:

\[ X \text{ and } Y \text{ are independent if and only if } p(x|y = 1) = p(x|y = -1) \]

Thus we can reduce the question if $X$ and $Y$ are independent to the question if the class conditional distributions are equal.

b. (3 Points) We only have one feature, that is $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $p(x|y = 1) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_+, \sigma^2)$ and $p(x|y = -1) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_-, \sigma^2)$ with known variance $\sigma^2$. Develop a modified version of the Gauss-test to test if $p(x|y = 1) = p(x|y = -1)$ (null-hypothesis). That requires to develop a test-statistic, derivation of the distribution of the test-statistic under the null-hypothesis, definition of a rejection region for a given significance level $\alpha$, computation of the $p$-value. The number of samples from positive and negative class can be different.

c. (2 Points) Write a Matlab Function

\[ pval = \text{DoPermutationTest}(X,Y), \]

which given the data $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$ does a permutation test for testing whether $p(x|y = 1)$ is equal to $p(x|y = -1)$ using the Fisher-score as the test-statistic (1000 permutations drawn uniform at random) and returns the p-value.

d. (3 Points) Compare the two developed tests, where

- first with data sampled from $p(x|y = 1) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$ and $p(x|y = -1) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ (100 points from $Y = 1$ and 200 points from $Y = -1$) and vary $\mu = 0:0.1:0.5$ and for each $\mu$ repeat the experiment 100 times. How often do you reject $H_0$ for the significance level 0.05 for both tests for each $\mu$. 

second with data sampled from \( p(x\mid y = 1) \sim \text{Uni}[\mu, 1+\mu] \) and \( p(x\mid y = -1) \sim \text{Uni}[0, 1] \), where \( \text{Uni} \) denotes the uniform distribution (100 points from \( Y = 1 \) and 200 points from \( Y = -1 \)) and vary \( \mu = 0.03:0.15 \) and for each \( \mu \) repeat the experiment 100 times.

How often do you reject \( H_0 \) for the significance level \( 0.05 \) for both tests for each \( \mu \).

Discuss the result of the two tests. Plot the number of rejections of \( H_0 \) as a function of \( \mu \).

Send the code for c) and the plots of d).

Hints:

- In order to get random permutations use the function \texttt{randperm(n)} which returns a permutation of the number 1,\ldots,n.
- For b) you may use that if \( X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma) \) where \( X \in \mathbb{R}^n \), then for \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \) the variable \( AX \) is distributed as \( N(A\mu, A\Sigma A^T) \).
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