

Note the difference between the above standard semantics over Σ_{LA} and the free first-order semantics over Σ_{LA} , Definition 3.2.1. The equation $3 + 4 \approx 5$ has a model in the free first-order semantics, hence it is satisfiable, whereas in the standard model of linear rational arithmetic, Definition 6.2.2, the equation $3 + 4 \approx 5$ is false.

In addition, with respect to the standard LRA semantics the definitions of validity, satisfiability coincide with truth and the definition of unsatisfiability coincides with falsehood. This is the result of a single algebra semantics.

Every atom over the variables x, y_1, \dots, y_n can be converted into an equivalent atom $x \circ t[\vec{y}]$ or $0 \circ t[\vec{y}]$, where $\circ \in \{<, >, \leq, \geq, \approx, \neq\}$ and $t[\vec{y}]$ has the form $\sum_i q_i \cdot y_i + q_0$ where $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}$.

In other words, a variable x can be either isolated on one side of the atom or eliminated completely. This is the starting point of the FM calculus deciding a conjunction of LA atoms without \neq modulo the isolation of variables and the reduction of ground formulas to \top, \perp .

If all variables in N are implicitly existentially quantified, i.e., N stands for $\exists \vec{x}. N$, then the above two rules constitute a sound and complete decision procedure for conjunctions of LA atoms without \neq .

6.2.3 Lemma (FM Termination on a Conjunction of Atoms)

FM terminates on a conjunction of atoms.

6.2.4 Lemma (FM Soundness and Completeness on a Conjunction of Atoms)

$$N \Rightarrow_{FM}^* \top \text{ iff } \mathcal{A}_{LRA} \models \exists \vec{x}. N.$$

$$N \Rightarrow_{FM}^* \perp \text{ iff } \mathcal{A}_{LRA} \not\models \exists \vec{x}. N.$$

The following rule can be used to remove the negation symbols as well:

$$\textbf{ElimNeg} \quad \chi[\neg s \circ_1 t]_p \Rightarrow_{\text{FM}} \chi[s \circ_2 t]_p$$

where the pairs (\circ_1, \circ_2) are given by pairs $(<, \geq)$, $(\leq, >)$, $(\approx, \not\approx)$ and their symmetric variants

The above two FM rules on conjunctions cannot cope with atoms $s \not\approx t$, so they are eliminated as well:

$$\textbf{Elim}\not\approx \quad \chi[s \not\approx t]_p \Rightarrow_{\text{FM}} \chi[s < t \vee s > t]_p$$

Finally, for the resulting formula $\{\exists, \forall\}x_1 \dots \{\exists, \forall\}x_n.\phi$ in prenex normal form the FM algorithm computes a DNF of ϕ by exhaustively applying the rule PushConj, Section 2.5.2.

The result is a formula $\{\exists, \forall\}x_1 \dots \{\exists, \forall\}x_n.\phi$ where ϕ is a DNF of atoms without containing an atom of the form $s \neq t$.

If there are m quantifier alternations $\exists\forall\exists\forall\dots\exists\forall$, a CNF to DNF conversion is required after each step. Each conversion has a worst-case exponential run time, see Section 2.5. Therefore, the overall procedure has a worst-case non-elementary runtime.