



# Conflict Driven Clause Learning (CDCL)

The CDCL calculus tests satisfiability of a finite set  $N$  of propositional clauses.

I assume that  $\perp \notin N$  and that the clauses in  $N$  do not contain duplicate literal occurrences. Furthermore, duplicate literal occurrences are always silently removed during rule applications of the calculus. (Exhaustive Condensation.)





The CDCL calculus explicitly builds a candidate model for a clause set. If such a sequence of literals  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  satisfies the clause set  $N$ , it is done. If not, there is a false clause  $C \in N$  with respect to  $L_1, \dots, L_n$ .

Now instead of just backtracking through the literals  $L_1, \dots, L_n$ , CDCL generates in addition a new clause, called *learned clause* via resolution, that actually guarantees that the subsequence of  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  that caused  $C$  to be false will not be generated anymore.

This causes CDCL to be exponentially more powerful in proof length than its predecessor DPLL or Tableau.





## CDCL State

A CDCL problem state is a five-tuple  $(M; N; U; k; D)$  where  $M$  a sequence of annotated literals, called a *trail*,  $N$  and  $U$  are sets of clauses,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $D$  is a non-empty clause or  $\top$  or  $\perp$ , called the *mode* of the state.

The set  $N$  is initialized by the problem clauses where the set  $U$  contains all newly learned clauses that are consequences of clauses from  $N$  derived by resolution.







# The Role of Levels

Literals in  $L \in M$  are either annotated with a number, a level, i.e., they have the form  $L^k$  meaning that  $L$  is the  $k^{\text{th}}$  guessed decision literal, or they are annotated with a clause that forced the literal to become true.

A literal  $L$  is of *level*  $k$  with respect to a problem state  $(M; N; U; j; C)$  if  $L$  or  $\text{comp}(L)$  occurs in  $M$  and  $L$  itself or the first decision literal left from  $L$  ( $\text{comp}(L)$ ) in  $M$  is annotated with  $k$ . If there is no such decision literal then  $k = 0$ .

A clause  $D$  is of *level*  $k$  with respect to a problem state  $(M; N; U; j; C)$  if  $k$  is the maximal level of a literal in  $D$ .







**Skip**  $(ML^{C\vee L}; N; U; k; D) \Rightarrow_{\text{CDCL}} (M; N; U; k; D)$   
 provided  $D \notin \{\top, \perp\}$  and  $\text{comp}(L)$  does not occur in  $D$

**Resolve**  $(ML^{C\vee L}; N; U; k; D \vee \text{comp}(L)) \Rightarrow_{\text{CDCL}} (M; N; U; k; D \vee C)$   
 provided  $D$  is of level  $k$

**Backtrack**  $(M_1 K^{i+1} M_2; N; U; k; D \vee L) \Rightarrow_{\text{CDCL}} (M_1 L^{D\vee L}; N; U \cup \{D \vee L\}; i; \top)$   
 provided  $L$  is of level  $k$  and  $D$  is of level  $i$ .

**Restart**  $(M; N; U; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{\text{CDCL}} (\epsilon; N; U; 0; \top)$   
 provided  $M \not\equiv N$

**Forget**  $(M; N; U \uplus \{C\}; k; \top) \Rightarrow_{\text{CDCL}} (M; N; U; k; \top)$   
 provided  $M \not\equiv N$













